Metabolism and gene regulation

Gene products/enzymes catalyse reactions.
Genes are regulated by gene and reaction products.

Metabolism and gene regulation

9. Alterations in metabolism

代谢适应于变化的环境。
即使是恒定的环境，代谢也表现出变化的酶活性。
显著的代谢变化在细胞周期期间。

Van Doorn, et al. (1988) 
变化在细胞周期中几种参与碳水化合物代谢的酶的活性。

Working hypothesis
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Switching between pathways may improve overall efficiency:

The output is produced by a single flux mode, using all reactions.
Two consecutive flux modes produce the output with higher flux rates.

When is this possible?

Assume metabolic network with internal metabolites $M^i$ and output metabolites $M^o$, for which there is a demand $\Gamma$.

A flux mode $\nu$ which is executed for some time $\tau \geq 0$ satisfies the demand if $\tau \cdot S^* \nu \geq \Gamma$.

Two flux modes $\nu^1, \nu^2$ which are executed consecutively for time $\tau_1$ resp. $\tau_2$ satisfy the demand if $\tau_1 \cdot S^* \nu^1 + \tau_2 \cdot S^* \nu^2 \geq \Gamma$.

Can we be more efficient with two flux modes $\nu^1, \nu^2$ than with one flux mode $\nu$?

In an FBA context, this is not possible, since we may always choose $\nu = \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_1 + \tau_2} \nu^1 + \frac{\tau_2}{\tau_1 + \tau_2} \nu^2$, which has the same efficiency $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2$. 
Assume flux bounds $0 \leq v \leq \frac{ub}{|supp(v)|}$, here $ub = 40$.

To satisfy demand, need $1.25 \cdot v^1 + 1.25 \cdot v^2$, i.e., $\tau = 2.5$.

To satisfy demand, need $4 \cdot v^3$, i.e., $\tau = 4$.

Basic assumption: Total amount of protein in the cell is limited.
Sharing available enzymes

- Synthesis and degradation of \( i \)-th enzyme:
  \[
  \frac{dE_j}{dt} = g_j \, k s_j A - k d_j \, E_j,
  \]
  where \( A \) is the total mass of free amino acids.
- Steady-state condition:
  \[
  E_j = g_j \, A \, \eta_j, \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_j = k s_j / k d_j.
  \]
- Assume fixed total mass of amino acids
  \[
  A_{tot} = A + \sum_i E_i \gamma_i \quad \text{[} = A + \sum_i (g_i A \eta_i) \gamma_i = A(1 + \sum_i g_i \gamma_i \eta_i) \text{]},
  \]
  where \( \gamma_i \) is the molecular mass of the \( i \)-th enzyme.
- Resulting upper bound:
  \[
  v_j \leq k c_j \cdot E_j = k c_j \cdot A_{tot} \, \frac{g_j \eta_j}{1 + \sum_i g_i \gamma_i \eta_i}.
  \]

Optimizing cellular output

- Internal metabolites \( M^\# \), output metabolites \( M^* \), all reactions irreversible.
- Assume cell has to produce a certain amount \( \Gamma \) of output metabolites \( M^* \).
- Allow using up to \( l \) flux modes \( v^1, \ldots, v^l \) in \( l \) consecutive time intervals of length \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_l \).
- Output in \( k \)-th time interval: \( \tau_k \cdot S^* v^k \)
- Goal: Minimize the total time \( \tau_1 + \cdots + \tau_l \) needed to produce \( \Gamma \).
- Lower bounds \( lb \geq 0 \) (minimum activity of certain reactions).

Non-linear mixed 0-1 optimisation problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\min & \sum_{k=1}^l \tau_k \\
S^\# v^k & = 0, \\
\sum_{k=1}^l \tau_k \, S^* v^k & \geq \Gamma, \\
lb_j & \leq v^k_j \leq k c_j \cdot A_{tot} \, \frac{g_j \eta_j}{1 + \sum_i g_i \gamma_i \eta_i}, \\
l \in \mathbb{N}, \ k = 1, \ldots, l, \ j = 1, \ldots, n, \\
\tau_k & \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \ v^k \in \mathbb{R}^n, g^k \in \{0,1\}^n.
\end{align*}
\]

\( \Rightarrow \) can be reformulated as mixed 0-1 quadratic program (MIQP).

Modeling gene expression levels

- \( g \in [0,1]^n \): fine tuning of genes
  (similar to FBA with Molecular Crowding, cf. Beg et al. 2007)
  \( \Rightarrow \) feasible region is convex
  \( \Rightarrow \) no improvement possible through switching
- \( g \in \{0,1\}^n \): genes switched on or off individually.
- \( g \in U \subset \{0,1\}^n \): genes switched on or off in functional groups,
  \( \Rightarrow \) biologically more meaningful
  (e.g. common transcription factors)
  \( \Rightarrow \) may use MinModes (Hoffmann et al. 2006)
Simplistic example

- Improvement by switching depends on parameter values.
- Random sampling gives improvement in 83% of the cases.
- Higher improvement if more protein is spent downstream.

Optimal MinMode solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>$\Sigma$</th>
<th>$\tau_1$</th>
<th>$\tau_2$</th>
<th>$\tau_3$</th>
<th>$\tau_4$</th>
<th># reaction switches</th>
<th># active reactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>glc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.405h</td>
<td>2.302h</td>
<td>3.242h</td>
<td>2.201h</td>
<td>2.362h</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.544h</td>
<td>2.428h</td>
<td>0.176h</td>
<td>0.098h</td>
<td>0.118h</td>
<td>26 (14/12)</td>
<td>29,43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.805h</td>
<td>2.214h</td>
<td>0.098h</td>
<td>0.118h</td>
<td>0.118h</td>
<td>26 (8/10)</td>
<td>35,41,29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.792h</td>
<td>2.214h</td>
<td>0.098h</td>
<td>0.118h</td>
<td>2.362h</td>
<td>26 (8/10)</td>
<td>29,37,41,25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lac</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.700h</td>
<td>2.302h</td>
<td>3.242h</td>
<td>2.201h</td>
<td>2.362h</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.967h</td>
<td>2.428h</td>
<td>0.176h</td>
<td>0.098h</td>
<td>0.118h</td>
<td>26 (14/12)</td>
<td>29,43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.631h</td>
<td>2.428h</td>
<td>0.176h</td>
<td>0.098h</td>
<td>0.118h</td>
<td>26 (8/10)</td>
<td>35,41,29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.607h</td>
<td>2.428h</td>
<td>0.176h</td>
<td>0.098h</td>
<td>0.118h</td>
<td>26 (8/10)</td>
<td>35,41,29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lac</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.405h</td>
<td>2.302h</td>
<td>3.242h</td>
<td>2.201h</td>
<td>2.362h</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.942h</td>
<td>2.428h</td>
<td>0.176h</td>
<td>0.098h</td>
<td>0.118h</td>
<td>26 (14/12)</td>
<td>29,43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.845h</td>
<td>2.428h</td>
<td>0.176h</td>
<td>0.098h</td>
<td>0.118h</td>
<td>26 (8/10)</td>
<td>35,41,29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.764h</td>
<td>2.428h</td>
<td>0.176h</td>
<td>0.098h</td>
<td>0.118h</td>
<td>26 (8/10)</td>
<td>35,41,29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>