#### Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

Felix Heeger, Max Homilius, Ivan Kel, Sabrina Krakau, Svenja Specovius, John Wiedenhoeft

July 19, 2010

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations F. Heeger, M. Homilius, I. Kel, S. Krakau, S. Specovius, J. Wiedenhoeft

#### Outline

#### Evolutionary Events

#### 2 A-Bruijn Alignment

- Construction of the A-Bruijn graph
- Simulation study
- Chromatin Remodeling Complex
- Carsonella

#### 3 S-LAGAN



#### **Evolutionary events**

Nucleotide deletion, insertion and point mutation

# $\begin{array}{ccc} CGTTCAT & \longrightarrow & CGT-CAT \\ CGTTCAT & \longrightarrow & CGTTTCAT \\ CGTTCAT & \longrightarrow & CGTCCAT \end{array}$

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations F. Heeger, M. Homilius, I. Kel, S. Krakau, S. Specovius, J. Wiedenhoeft

#### Collinear alignment

Columns of aligned sequences

CONSENSUS	a.gttcctgc.tgcgtttgctggactgatgtactt.gtttgtgagg.caa
Hs#S663801	a.gttcctgc.tgcgtttgctggacttatgtactt.gtttgtgagg.caa
Hs#S337687	aagttcctgc.tgcgtttgctggactgatgtacttggtttgtgnaggcaa
Hs#S629177	a.gttcctgc.tgcgtttgctggactgatgtactt.gtttgtnagg.caa
Hs#S672957	a.gttcctgc.tgcgtttgct
Hs#S672182	a.gttcctgc.tgcgtttgctggactgatgtactt.gttt
Hs#S674099	a.gttcctgc.tgcgtttgctggactgatgtactt.gtttgtgagg.caa
Hs#S196113	a.gttnctgn.tgngtttgctggactgatgtactt.gtttgtgagg.caa
Hs#S994400	gtacnt.gtttgtgagg.cta
Hs#S80460	a.gttcctgc.tgcgtttgctggactgatgtactt.gtttgtgagg.caa
Hs#S1988018	a.gttcctgc.tgcttttgctggactgatgtactt.gattgtgagg.caa
Hs#S1794113	a.gttcctgc.tgcgcttgctggactgatgtactt.gtttgtgagg.caa
Hs#S4698	a.gttcctgc.tgcgtttgctggactgatgtactt.gtttgtgcgg.caa
Hs#S813765	a.gt.cctgc.g.cgtttgc.ggacggatgtactt.gtt.gtgagg.caa
Hs#S1184845	g.caa
Hs#S1577463	gg.caa
Hs#S914987	gtgagggcaa
Hs#S1985364	a.gttcctgc.tgcgtttgctggactgatgtactt.gtttgtgagg.caa
Hs#S1465644	gttc.tgcctgcgtttgctgaactgatgtactt.gttagt.aag.caa
Hs#S1850471	c.gttactgc.ggggtttgctggactcatg.actttgttngt.agg.caa

#### More evolutionary events

Genome rearrangements: duplication, reversal and deletion of segments



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations F. Heeger, M. Homilius, I. Kel, S. Krakau, S. Specovius, J. Wiedenhoeft

#### Multidomain proteins



- Diverged by rearrangements of modular units, e.g. domains
- Multidomain proteins (MDPs) difficult to align collinearly

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

#### Multidomain protein toy example



#### Collinear alignment



• It's not possible to align all similar domains without reordering

#### Graph representation of alignments



- Arcs: input sequences
- Edges: matches
- Some edges may be inconsistent: mixed cycles

#### Non-collinear alignment



• Allow *large* cycles of *similar* segments

#### Construction of the A-Bruijn Graph



#### Whirls and inconsistencies



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

J. Wiedenhoeft

- simulate sequence evolution using PAM (*point accepted mutation*)
- two models of sequence evolution
  - geometric duplication/deletion model
  - rearrangement according to fragility model
- true homology can be tracked to provide a gold standard

- amino acid substitution modeled as a Markov process
- PAM = transition matrix
- using ABA's BLAST subroutine with PAM30 provides a null model of character homology

#### Geometric duplication/deletion model

- pick position by uniform distribution
- determine deletion or duplication by binomial distribution
- determine direction by binomial distribution
- determine length by geometric distribution



#### Fragility model

- models only translocations
- successful translocation *increases* the chance of a segment being translocated again ⇒ models conservation of substructures
- boundaries weighted by length of substructure
- borders of substructures are preferred as insertion spots  $\Rightarrow$  prevents disruption of other substructures



- true negatives are vast due to the low number of paralogs and the alignment bias (BLAST)
- hence precision and accuracy are not suitable measures

 $\frac{\rm FP + FN}{\rm FP + FN + TP}$ 

#### Results



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

## Analysing Multidomain Proteins with ABA

- Noncolinear alignment applied on multidomain proteins (MDPs).
- Histone Deacetylation / Chromatin Remodeling Complexes.

### HATs / CRCs

Regulation of gene expression.



#### Function of chromatin-remodeling complexes

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

- 262 proteins found in literature and manually annotated.
- Thanks to Sebastian, Ivan and Christoph!
- From S. cervisiae, S. pombe, D. melanogaster and H. Sapiens

- Can ABA recognize domain-like structures?
- Do domains move around in the complexes?
- What structures occur often?



- Applied to only 2 species.
- Rendering takes a long time.
- Hard to interprete (manually).

#### Parsing output of ABA



- Applied to 4 species.
- Reconstructed A-Bruijn Graph from ABA-Output.

#### Distribution of edge multiplicity



- High-weight edges point out to conserved and repeated elements.
- Within and across proteins.
- (Girth parameter did not seem to work.)

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

#### Distribution of edge multiplicity (filtered)



• Filtered distribution of the multiplicity of edges (length > 40).

#### Comparison with PFAM-Annotation



• Hidden markov models learned from multiple sequence alignments.

- Annotated all proteins with PFAM/HMMER.
- Detected 561 domains (not unique).

#### Distribution of edges with domains



- $\bullet~\approx$  210 edges of multiplicity 1.
- pprox 150 edges of multiplicity 16.

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

#### Repeated domains



• Domains seem to share edges in ABA-graph.

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

#### Repeated domains

Domain	Average Multiplicity
DUF1679	21.0
Elf1	21.0
DUF1825	21.0
Fib_alpha	21.0
ZZ	17.7
Otopetrin	17.0
CDK5_activator	17.0
$RFX_DNA_binding$	1.0
zfC5HC2	1.0
DUF1542	1.0
Rep_N	1.0
DUF3619	1.0
TIP49	1.0
$HTH_{-}Mga$	1.0

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

- Do ABA-edges correlate with found domains?
- Apply real null model. Significance tests.
- Can ABA be used to complement the domains found with HMMER?

#### Non-Collinear Alignment: Reannotation of genomes.

Carosonella ruddii: an interesting thing

- unclassified  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria. (Like e.g. *E.Coli*)
- Sequenced 2006.

#### Carosonella ruddii

what is it?

• Smallest bacterial genome known.  $\rightarrow$  160 Mb (!). E.Coli has 4,5 Gb

#### Smallest genome before Carsonella

• 362 protein-coding genes in Buchnera aphidicola BCc



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

• CG-Content: Very low (16%). *E.coli*: (50%)

#### **GC-Content**

GC Content is defined as: GC-content (or guanine-cytosine content), in molecular biology, is the percentage of specific bases on a DNA molecule which are either guanine or cytosine.

- CG-Content: Very low (16%). *E.coli*: (50%)
- First annotation: 213 genes. E.coli: 4400 genes

#### Minimal set of genes for life

• : Moya A. et al. proposed 2003 that the minimal gene set for a endosymbiotic life is close to 313.

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations
• DNA replication and repair system is strongly degraded.

- DNA replication and repair system is strongly degraded.
- Transcriptioin machinery is reduced to core subunits of RNA Polymerase (no promotor-recognition)

- DNA replication and repair system is strongly degraded.
- Transcriptioin machinery is reduced to core subunits of RNA Polymerase (no promotor-recognition)
- Translation machinery is highly reduced. (three essential rRNAs are present)

- DNA replication and repair system is strongly degraded.
- Transcriptioin machinery is reduced to core subunits of RNA Polymerase (no promotor-recognition)
- Translation machinery is highly reduced. (three essential rRNAs are present)
- No Shine-Dalgarno sequence present (the way it is defiend)

#### 16S rRNA and Shine-Dalgarno Sequence

• Shine-Dalgarno (SD) is a regulatory sequence strongly involved in translation of bacterial poly-cystronic mRNAs.

## Interesting question

Is Carsonella ruddii a living cell?

• 9 aminoa-cyl-tRNA synthetases and 15 out of 50 essential ribosomal protein are **missing** or degraded.

Is Carsonella ruddii a living cell?

• 9 aminoa-cyl-tRNA synthetases and 15 out of 50 essential ribosomal protein are **missing** or degraded.

#### Two different theories

- C.ruddii is a bacteria which undergoes the change to endosymbiont.
- C.ruddii is an former primary endosymbiont, is being driven towards its extinction and replacement by a new symbiont.

## **Current Annotation**

What has been done until now

- 2006: First annotaion (213 genes)
- 2007: Second annotation
- Both teams used well known Gene-prediction algorithms + collinear alignment

## **Current Annotation**

What has been done until now

- 2006: First annotaion (213 genes)
- 2007: Second annotation
- Both teams used well known Gene-prediction algorithms + collinear alignment

## **Current Annotation**

What has been done until now

- 2006: First annotaion (213 genes)
- 2007: Second annotation
- Both teams used well known Gene-prediction algorithms + collinear alignment
- Problem: Over-annotation of function of genes. Many genes that are believed to be orthologous are much shorter and therefore deffer in their function.

#### My goal

use an non-collinear alignment algorithm to reannotate the whole genome of C.ruddii

Algorithms

- $\bullet \ SuperMap + S-LAGAN$
- A-Bruijn Alignment (ABA)

- Carsonella Ruddii PV (160 kb genome, 213 genes)
- Buchnera aphidicola BCc (Cc) (+ a plasmid) : 450 kb. (397 genes)
- Candidatus Blochmannia floridanus: 705 kb. (631 genes).
- Wigglesworthia glossinidia (+ a plasmid): 698 kb. (651 genes)
- Baumannia cicadellinicola str. Hc: 686 kb (651 genes)

• A guiding tree (evolutionary tree) was build out of 16S-rRNAs of the species.

- A guiding tree (evolutionary tree) was build out of 16S-rRNAs of the species.
- Neighbor joining tree
- Maximum likelyhood tree





Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

## ABA Using "my" 5 Species



## ABA Using "my" 5 Species



#### Species

- 0 and 5: Wigglesworthia
- 1 and 6: Buchnera aphidicola
- 2 and 7: Carsonella Ruddii
- 3 and 8: Blochmannia
- 4 and 9: Baumannia

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

### ABA Using "Moya's" Species



### ABA Using "Moya's" Species



#### Species

- 0 and 6: Buchnera aphidicola str. Cc
- 1 and 7: Buchnera aphidicola str. Bp
- 2 and 8: Buchnera aphidicola str. Sg
- 3 and 9: Buchnera aphidicola str. APS
- 4 and 10: Carsonella ruddii
- 5 and 11: E.Coli

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

# 2 Species (Carsonella and E.Coli) produce the same alignment as 6 Species from Moya paper



#### Example

region 0 - 46219 : 56 genes region 46219 - 47795 : 0 genes region 47795 - 53155 : 10 genes region 53155 - 53218 : 0 genes region 53218 - 54412 : 4 genes region 54412 - 56011 : 0 genes region 56011 - 58258 : 4 genes region 58258 - 59412 : 0 genes region 59412 - 65459 : 8 genes region 65459 - 67041 : 1 genes region 67041 - 70177 : 4 genes

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations



#### Example

region 0 - 46219 : 56 genes region 46219 - 47795 : 0 genes region 47795 - 53155 : 10 genes region 53155 - 53218 : 0 genes region 53218 - 54412 : 4 genes region 54412 - 56011 : 0 genes region 56011 - 58258 : 4 genes region 58258 - 59412 : 0 genes region 59412 - 65459 : 8 genes region 65459 - 67041 : 1 genes region 67041 - 70177 : 4 genes

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

- There are still at least 29 genes with no assigned function.
- Insightes into the possibility to create symbiotic life.

# Project: Reimplementation of S-LAGAN Using SeqAn F. Heeger, S. Specovius

# Introduction to S-LAGAN

# Implementation and Problems

## Sesults

- S-LAGAN computes glocal alignments of 2 sequences
  → Set of local alignments which cover the whole sequence
- S-LAGAN is able to handle rearrangements

• No rearrangements

Translocation

Inversion

• Duplication

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations







- Computation of local alignments
- Ochaining
- 8 Realignment of consistent subchains

## **S-LAGAN**

1. Computation of local alignments

- S-LAGAN uses CHAOS for this step
- Applies CHAOS twice
  - $\rightarrow$  Sequence 1 with sequence 2
  - $\rightarrow$  Sequence 1 with reverse complement of sequence 2



2. Chaining

#### 1-monotonic



## **S-LAGAN**

3. Realignment of consistent subchains

- Consistent (co-linear) subchains are globally aligned
- S-LAGAN uses LAGAN for this step

- Implementation in SeqAn
- Extract Chaos from SeqAn implementation of LAGAN
- Implement 1-monotonic chaining
- Use existing SeqAn implementation of LAGAN

- Find seeds with q-gram index
- Merge overlapping seeds
- Chain seeds with Chaos algorithm
  - $\rightarrow$  Segmentation Fault on certain data
  - $\rightarrow$  Only gap-free local matches

- Graph with nodes representing local matches
- Edges to all matches, which can be chained 1-monotonic  $\rightarrow$  Heaviest path (Bellman-Ford Algorithm)
- $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$

Realign Consistent Subchains

- Find consistent subchains
- Align them with global alignment algorithm
- LAGAN runs into an endless loop on certain data  $\rightarrow$  Use Needleman-Wunsch Algorithm

Our implementation...

- is very slow
- can be used on small data, like virus genomes ( $\sim$  5000 bp)
- finds manually inserted rearrangements
**Motivation** 

Assume there are two assemblies obtained from different assemblers:



Aim: Assemble a genome sequence from given reads.

- Reads
  - $\rightarrow$  Collection of short sequences
  - $\rightarrow$  Obtained from an automated sequencer
  - $\rightarrow$  Orientation is not known



Assemble overlapping reads together to obtain contigs.

#### • Contigs

 $\rightarrow$  Large, contiguous fragments of assembled reads



Assembly Layout

#### Problem

 $\downarrow$ 

• Order and orientation of contigs is unknown

Search for a good assembly layout !

# **O**ptimal **S**yntenic **L**ayout of unfinished assemblies

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations F. Heeger, M. Homilius, I. Kel, S. Krakau, S. Specovius, J. Wiedenhoeft

 Maximize no. of extended local diagonals



- Maximize no. of extended local diagonals
- permute and flip contigs of assembly A



- Maximize no. of extended local diagonals
- permute and flip contigs of assembly A



- Maximize no. of extended local diagonals
- permute and flip contigs of assembly A
- switch roles of A and B



- Maximize no. of extended local diagonals
- permute and flip contigs of assembly A
- switch roles of A and B



Independency in constructing the layouts of A and B !

### The OSL Problem

Basics

#### Assemblies

$$A = (a_1, \dots, a_p)$$
$$B = (b_1, \dots, b_q)$$



### The OSL Problem

Basics



Layout

Local diagonal extension c and c' form a *local diagonal extension* iff  $y \sim y'$  and o = o'



Layout

- Assemble a set of reads with two different Assemblers

- Assemble a set of reads with two different Assemblers
  - Reads of Chromosom 21
  - Assembler: Mira and Celera (WGS)

- Assemble a set of reads with two different Assemblers
  - Reads of Chromosom 21
  - Assembler: Mira and Celera (WGS)

Problems:

• WGS Assembler doesn't work with given reads

Plan B:

 $\downarrow$ 

- Take given sequence of chr. 21
- Create artificial contigs

Create artificial contigs:

Seq. Chr. 21

Assembly A

Assembly B

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations F. Heeger, M. Homilius, I. Kel, S. Krakau, S. Specovius, J. Wiedenhoeft

Create artificial contigs:

Seq. Chr. 21



#### Assemblies are from the same sequence

Megablast

Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations F. Heeger, M. Homilius, I. Kel, S. Krakau, S. Specovius, J. Wiedenhoeft

OSLay is the implementation of the OSL algorithm.

Input:

- target assembly
- reference assembly
- matches (e.g. BLAST)

Output:

- original layout
- new layout

Problem:

- Input too large for OSLay
- $\bullet$  Chr. 21  $\sim$  34 MB

 $\downarrow$ 

Plan B:

• segment of 210 KB

- Assembly A: sequence divided by 100
- Assembly B: sequence divided by 19



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

#### False connections:



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

Create contigs with random length:

- Assembly A: lengths between 500 and 5000 bp ( $\sim$  100 contigs)
- Assembly B: lengths between 1000 and 200000 bp ( $\sim$  20 contigs)



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations



Whole Genome Comparison: Project Presentations

### Discussion

- Works only with similar sequences
- But: Contig borders of Assemblies should be different
- Just for small genomes

#### References

Brudno, M., Do, C. B., Cooper, G. M., Kim, M. F., Davydov, E., Comparative, N., Program, S., Green, E. D., Sidow, A., and Batzoglou, S. (2003a). LAGAN and Multi-LAGAN : Efficient Tools for Large-Scale Multiple Alignment of Genomic DNA Outline of Algorithms.

Genome Research, (Taylor 1988):721-731.



Brudno, M., Malde, S., Poliakov, A., Do, C., Couronne, O., Dubchak, I., and Batzoglou, S. (2003b). Glocal alignment: Finding rearrangements during alignment. *Bioinformatics*, 19(Suppl 1):i54.



Parker, D. S. and Lee, C. J. (2003).

Multiple Partial Order Alignment as a Graph Problem. Science (New York, N.Y.).



Pevzner, P. A., Tang, H., and Tesler, G. (2004).

De novo repeat classification and fragment assembly. Genome Research, 14(9):1786–96.



Raphael, B., Zhi, D., Tang, H., and Pevzner, P. (2004).

A novel method for multiple alignment of sequences with repeated and shuffled elements. Genome research, 14(11):2336–46.