II. Network flows

- Network
 - Directed graph G = (V, E)
 - Source $s \in V$, sink $t \in V$
 - Edge capacities cap : $E \to \mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \ge 0\}$
- *Flow:* $f : E \to \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying
 - 1. Flow conservation constraints

$$\sum_{e: \text{target}(e)=v} f(e) = \sum_{e: \text{source}(e)=v} f(e), \text{ for all } v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$$

2. Capacity constraints

$$0 \leq f(e) \leq \operatorname{cap}(e)$$
, for all $e \in E$

Maximum flow problem

- Excess at node v: $excess(v) = \sum_{e:target(e)=v} f(e) \sum_{e:source(e)=v} f(e)$
- If *f* is a flow, then excess(v) = 0, for all $v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$.
- Value of a flow: val(f) = excess(t)
- Maximum flow problem:

 $\max\{\operatorname{val}(f) \mid f \text{ is a flow in } G\}$

• Can be seen as a linear programming problem.

Maximum flow problem (2)

Lemma

If *f* is a flow, then excess(t) = -excess(s).

Proof: We have

$$excess(s) + excess(t) = \sum_{v \in V} excess(v) = 0.$$

- First "=": excess(v) = 0, for $v \in V \setminus \{s, t\}$
- Second "=": For any edge e = (v, w), the flow through e appears twice in the sum, positively in excess(w) and negatively in excess(v).

Cuts

- A *cut* is a partition (S, T) of V, i.e., $T = V \setminus S$.
- (S, T) is an (s, t)-cut if $s \in S$ and $t \in T$.
- Capacity of the cut (S, T)

$$\operatorname{cap}(S,T) = \sum_{E \cap (S \times T)} \operatorname{cap}(e)$$

• A cut is saturated by f if f(e) = cap(e), for all $e \in E \cap (S \times T)$, and f(e) = 0, for all $e \in E \cap (T \times S)$.

Cuts (2)

Lemma

If f is a flow and (S, T) an (s, t)-cut, then

$$\operatorname{val}(f) = \sum_{e \in E \cap (S \times T)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in E \cap (T \times S)} f(e) \leq \operatorname{cap}(S, T).$$

If (S, T) is saturated by f, then val(f) = cap(S, T).

Proof: We have

$$val(f) = -excess(s) = -\sum_{u \in S} excess(u) = \sum_{e \in E \cap (S \times T)} f(e) - \sum_{e \in E \cap (T \times S)} f(e)$$
$$\leq \sum_{e \in E \cap (S \times T)} cap(e) = cap(S)$$

For a saturated cut, the inequality is an equality.



Remarks

- A saturated cut proves the optimality of a flow.
- To show: for every maximal flow there is a saturated cut proving its optimality.

Residual network

The residual network G_f for a flow f in G = (V, E) indicates the capacity unused by f. It is defined as follows:

- *G_f* has the same node set as *G*.
- For every edge e = (v, w) in G, there are up to two edges e' and e'' in G_f :
 - 1. if $f(e) < \operatorname{cap}(e)$, there is an edge e' = (v, w) in G_f with residual capacity $r(e') = \operatorname{cap}(e) f(e)$.
 - 2. if f(e) > 0, there is an edge e'' = (w, v) in G_f with residual capacity r(e'') = f(e).



Theorem

Let *f* be an (s, t)-flow, let G_f be the residual network w.r.t. *f*, and let *S* be the set of all nodes reachable from *s* in G_f .

- 1. If $t \in S$, then *f* is not maximum.
- 2. If $t \notin S$, then $(S, V \setminus S)$ is a saturated cut and *f* is maximum.

Proof

If t is reachable from s in G_f , then f is not maximal.

- Let *P* be a path from *s* to *t* in G_f .
- Let δ be the minimum residual capacity of an edge in *P*.
 By definition, *r*(*e*) > 0, for all edges *e* in *G_f*. Therefore, δ > 0.
- Construct a flow f' of value val $(f) + \delta$:

$$f'(e) = \begin{cases} f(e) + \delta, & \text{if } e' \in P \\ f(e) - \delta, & \text{if } e'' \in P \\ f(e), & \text{if neither } e' \text{ nor } e'' \text{ belongs to } P. \end{cases}$$

• f' is a flow and $val(f') = val(f) + \delta$.



If t is not reachable from s in G_f , then f is maximal.

- Let *S* be the set of nodes reachable from *s* in *G*_{*f*}, and let $T = V \setminus S$.
- There is no edge (v, w) in G_f with $v \in S$ and $w \in T$.
- By the definition of G_f:
 - f(e) = cap(e), for any $e \in E \cap (S \times T)$, and
 - f(e) = 0, for any $e \in E \cap (T \times S)$.
- Thus *S* is saturated and, by the Lemma, *f* is maximal.

Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm (1955)

- 1. Start with the zero flow, i.e., f(e) = 0, for all $e \in E$.
- 2. Construct the residual network G_f .
- 3. Check whether t is reachable from s in G_f .

- if not, stop.
- if yes, increase the flow along an *augmenting path*, and iterate.

Analysis

- Let |V| = n and |E| = m.
- Each iteration takes time O(n+m).
- If capacities are arbitrary reals, the algorithm may run forever.

Integer capacities

- Suppose capacities are integers, bounded by C.
- $v^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ value of maximum flow $\leq Cn$.
- All flows constructed are integral (proof by induction).
- Every augmentation increases flow value by at least 1.
- Running time $O((n+m)v^*) \rightsquigarrow pseudo-polynomial algorithm$

Edmonds-Karp Algorithm (1972)

- Compute a *shortest* augmenting path, i.e. with a minimum number of arcs.
- Apply breadth-first search (or Dijkstra's algorithm).
- Number of iterations is bound by nm, leads to an $O(nm^2)$ maximum flow algorithm.
- Works also for irrational capacities.

More efficient algorithms exist:

- O(n²m) (Dinic, Push-Relabel)
- $O(n^3)$ (FIFO Push-Relabel, ...)

Max-Flow Min-Cut Theorem

Theorem (Ford-Fulkerson 1954)

For a network (V, E, s, t) with capacities cap : $E \to \mathbb{R}_+$ the maximum value of a flow is equal to the minimum capacity of an (s, t)-cut:

 $\max\{\operatorname{val}(f) \mid f \text{ is a flow}\} = \min\{\operatorname{cap}(S, T) \mid (S, T) \text{ is an } (s, t) \text{-cut}\}$

Corollary

For integer capacities cap : $E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists an integer-valued maximum flow $f : E \to \mathbb{Z}_+$.

References and further reading

- A. Schrijver: A Course in Combinatorial Optimization, CWI Amsterdam, 2010, http://homepages.cwi. nl/~lex/files/dict.pdf
- K. Mehlhorn: Data Structures and Efficient Algorithms, Vol. 2: Graph Algorithms and NP-Completeness, Springer, 1986, http://www.mpi-sb.mpg.de/~mehlhorn/DatAlgbooks.html
- S. Krumke and H. Noltemeier: Graphentheoretische Konzepte und Algorithmen. Teubner, 2005
- R. K. Ahuja, T. L. Magnanti and J. L. Orlin: Network flows. Prentice Hall, 1993