

p-adic integration and Igusa Zeta functionSetting / Notation:

K p-adic field, i.e., complete field + $1 \cdot | \cdot |_K$ non-archimedean absolute value
and $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{F}_q$

$$\text{where } \mathcal{O}_K = \{a \in K \mid |a|_K \leq 1\}$$

Assume image of K^\times under $| \cdot |_K$ is discrete in \mathbb{R}^\times .

- K -analytic manifolds can be defined in the same way as in the case where $K = \mathbb{R}$ (for K complete field)

Recall: Defn:

(1) $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K^n$, $U \subseteq K^n$, then $f: U \rightarrow K$ is called K -analytic function on U if

$$\forall a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in U$$

$$\exists f_a(x) \in K\langle\langle x-a \rangle\rangle = K\langle\langle x_1-a_1, \dots, x_n-a_n \rangle\rangle$$

(ring of convergent power series)

such that $f(x) = f_a(x)$ for any point x in an open nbhd of a .

(2) $f: U \rightarrow K^m$ is called K -analytic map if every $f_i = (f_{i1}, \dots, f_{im})$ is a K -analytic function on U .

(3) n-dim K -analytic manifold is the following data:

- X Hausdorff topological space

- atlas $\{(U_i, \phi_{U_i})\}_{i \in I}$ s.t. $U_i \subseteq X$, $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = X$,

$$\phi_{U_i}: U_i \longrightarrow \phi_{U_i}(U_i) \subseteq K^n$$

bicontinuous

if $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, the map

$$\phi_{U_i} \circ \phi_{U_j}: \phi_{U_j}(U_i \cap U_j) \longrightarrow \phi_{U_i}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is K -analytic

(4) $(X, \{U, \phi_U\})$, $(Y, \{V, \phi_V\})$ K-analytic manifolds
 $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is K-analytic map if for every U, V such that $U \cap f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$,

$$\psi_V \circ f \circ \phi_U^{-1}: \phi_U(U \cap f^{-1}(V)) \rightarrow K^{\dim(Y)}$$

is K-analytic.

(5) $(X, \{U, \phi_U\})$ K-analytic manifold, $a \in X$ point

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,a} = \mathcal{O}_a := \begin{cases} \text{local ring of germs of K-analytic} \\ \text{functions in a nbhd of } a \end{cases}$$

$$m_a \text{ (maximal ideal)} := \{f \in \mathcal{O}_a \mid f(a) = 0\}$$

if (U, ϕ_U) is a chart s.t. $a \in U$, $\phi_U(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$

then m_a has $(x_1 - x_1(a), \dots, x_n - x_n(a))$ as its ideal by

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,a} \cong K\langle\langle x - x(a) \rangle\rangle$$

(6) $T_a(X) := \{ \text{v.s over } K \text{ of K-linear maps } \partial: \mathcal{O}_a \rightarrow K \mid \text{s.t. } \partial(fg) = (\partial f)g(a) + f(a)\partial g \text{ for } f, g \in \mathcal{O}_a \}$

$$\Omega_a(X) := (T_a(X))^*$$

$$m_a/m_a^2$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_a$, $(df)_a := f - f(a) \text{ mod. } m_a^2$

$(dx_1)_a, \dots, (dx_n)_a$ form a K-basis for m_a/m_a^2

$$\Omega_a^p(X) := \bigwedge^p(\Omega_a(X)) := \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} K(dx_{i_1})_a \wedge \dots \wedge (dx_{i_p})_a$$

We say α is a K-analytic differential form of degree p on X if for every $a \in X$, $\alpha(a) \in \Omega_a^p(X)$ and has the local form

$$\alpha(x) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} f_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_p}(x) dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p}$$

where f_{v,i_1, \dots, i_p} are all K -analytic functions on V ③

- If f is any K -analytic f^n on X , then df is a K -analytic differential form of degree 1 on X . $(df|_a) := (df)_a$

[7] $f: X \rightarrow Y$ K -analytic map of K -analytic manifolds

β a K -analytic differential form of degree p on Y
 $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}, \{(V_j, \psi_j)\}$ charts of X, Y respectively.

for $a \in X$, $f(a) = b$, $a \in U_i$, $b \in V_j$

$$\phi_i(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \psi_j(y) = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

$$U' = U \cap f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\beta(y) = \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_p} g_{V,j_1 \dots j_p}(y) dy_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dy_{j_p}$$

→ K -analytic f^n on V .

then

$$(f^*)(\beta)(x) = \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_p} (g_{V,j_1 \dots j_p} \circ f)(x) d(y_{j_1} \circ f) \wedge \dots \wedge d(y_{j_p} \circ f)$$

on U' .

If $\dim X = \dim Y = p = n$. we put $g_V = g_{V,1,\dots,n}$

$$\text{then } (f^*)(\beta)(x) = g_V(f(x)) \frac{\partial(y_1 \dots y_n)}{\partial(x_1 \dots x_n)} \cdot dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

Remarks: (1) \mathcal{O}_K is a compact ring

(2) $\pi^i \mathcal{O}_K$ are compact open subgroups of the additive group K $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{and } \bigcup_i \pi^i \mathcal{O}_K = K$$

$\Rightarrow K$ is locally compact totally disconnected group
countable at ∞

(i.e. covered by countably many compact subspaces)

\Rightarrow So is K^n and any K -analytic manifold is locally compact totally disconnected.

\Rightarrow We can put a Haar measure on K^n .

More notation: X - K -analytic manifold

$$\Upsilon(X) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{all compact open subset} \\ \text{of } X + \emptyset \text{ (empty set)} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$A \downarrow \begin{matrix} \text{Supp } \psi \\ \psi \end{matrix}$$

$$\mathcal{D}(X) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{all locally constant } \mathbb{C}\text{-valued} \\ \text{functions on } X \text{ with compact support} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{C}\text{-span of } \Upsilon(X) \simeq \mathcal{D}(X)$$

$$\mathcal{D}(X)':=\text{dual of } \mathcal{D}(X)$$

simply additive \mathbb{C} -valued functions on $\Upsilon(X)$.

Defⁿ: A measure μ on X is an element of $\mathcal{D}(X)'$ satisfying $\mu(A) = \mu(X_A) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for every A in $\Upsilon(X)$.

$$\text{for } \psi \in \mathcal{D}(X), \mu(\psi) = \int_X \psi(x) \mu(x) = \int_X \psi(x) dx$$

By defⁿ we have

$$\left| \int_X \psi(x) \mu(x) \right| \leq \int_X |\psi(x)| \mu(x) \leq \mu(\text{Supp}(\psi)) \|\psi\|_{\infty}$$

$\forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(X).$

Now specialize $X = K^n$. K^n acts on itself by left multiplication

Defⁿ: A Haar measure μ_n or μ_{K^n} or μ on K^n is a K^n -invariant measure different from 0.

Thm: Haar measure exists on K^n and is unique up to a factor in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

Propⁿ (Change of variable) : μ_n haar measure on K^n & $\mu_n(U_K^n) = 1$

Let $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)) : K^n \rightarrow K^n$ s.t
every $f_i(x)$ is K -analytic around $a \in K^n$ and

$$\text{Jac}(f) = \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(a) \neq 0$$

so that $\exists U \ni a$ and $V \ni f(a) = b$ and
 $f : U \rightarrow V$ bianalytic
 $x \mapsto y = f(x)$

then

$$dy = \left| \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \right|_K dx, \text{ where } dx = \mu_n|_U, dy = \mu_n|_V$$

In other words, $A \in \Upsilon(U)$

$$\int_{f(A)} g(y) dy = \int_A g(f(x)) \left| \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(x) \right| dx$$

- Define: $\Omega(K^\times) := \text{Hom}(K^\times, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ continuous homomoph

$$\& \Omega(K) := \text{Hom}(K, \mathbb{C}^\times)$$

Fact - $\eta \in \Omega(K)$ or $\Omega(K^\times)$ is locally constant.

\Rightarrow In particular, η is integrable

Explicitly, we can describe $\Omega(K^\times)$ as follows

$a \in K^\times$, then $a = \pi^e u$, $e \in \mathbb{Z}$, $u \in \mathbb{O}_K^\times$

(unique upto choice of π)

$e := \text{order of } a =: \text{ord}(a)$

$u = \text{angular component of } a =: \text{ac}(a)$

$\Rightarrow K^\times \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{O}_K^\times$ (bicontinuous)

$$a \mapsto (\text{ord}(a), \text{ac}(a))$$

$$\Rightarrow \Omega(K^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^\times \times (\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^*$$

$$\omega \longmapsto (\omega(\pi), \omega|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times})$$

$$\Rightarrow \Omega(K^\times) = \coprod_{(G_K^\times)^*} \mathbb{C}^\times$$

Note that $(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)^* = \bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{N}} (\mathcal{O}_K^\times / (1 + \pi^e \mathcal{O}_K))^{*}$
 \uparrow finite groups

Define $\omega_s \in \Omega(K^\times)$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\omega_s(a) := |a|_K^s = q^{-\text{ord}(a)s}$$

Let $\omega \in \Omega(K^\times)$, then we can choose $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\omega(\pi) = q^{-s}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega(a) = \omega_s(a) \chi(a \bar{c}(a))$$

$$\text{where } \chi = \omega|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$$

⚠️ s is not unique!!

In fact, we have a bicontinuous isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}/(2\pi i/\log q)\mathbb{Z} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \\ s &\longmapsto t = q^{-s} \end{aligned}$$

Observation: A \mathbb{C} -valued function of t is holomorphic on \mathbb{C}^\times iff it is a holomorphic f^n on the s -plane \mathbb{C} .

- Note that $\text{Re}(s)$ depends only on ω , even though s is not uniquely determined by ω .

We denote $\sigma(\omega) := \text{Re}(s)$

$$\Rightarrow |\omega(a)| = \omega_{\sigma(\omega)}(a)$$

Define: an open subset $\Omega_\sigma(K^\times) \subset \Omega(K^\times)$ by
 $\sigma(\omega) > \sigma$ for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$

(7)

Lemma: $a \in K$, $e \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\omega \in \Omega_0(K^\times)$, $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$t = \omega(\pi)$, $x = \omega|_{\mathcal{O}_K^\times}$. Then

$$\int_{a + \pi^e \mathcal{O}_K} \omega(x)^N |x|_K^{n-1} dx = \begin{cases} (1-q^{-1}) (q^{-nt^N})^e / (1-q^{-n} t^N) & \text{if } a \in \pi^e \mathcal{O}_K \\ q^{-e} \omega(a)^N |a|_K^{n-1} & \text{if } a \notin \pi^e \mathcal{O}_K \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$x^N|_{U'} = 1$

where $U' = 1 + \pi^e a^{-1} \mathcal{O}_K$.

$f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \setminus K$, $\text{char}(K) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow f: \mathbb{A}_K^{d,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^1$$

choose an embedded resolution of singularities for morphism

i.e.: $h: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^{d,n}$ projective birational morphism of K -varieties w/ Y smooth/ K

$$h: Y \setminus h^{-1}(f^{-1}(0)) \xrightarrow[\text{iso}]{} \mathbb{A}_K^{d,n} \setminus f^{-1}(0)$$

and the divisor $E = (f \circ h)$ is sncd

Such an embedded resolution always exist.

Jac_h : Jacobian ideal sheaf of h on Y

note that the divisor (Jac_h) is supported on E .

let $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$ irreducible components of E ,

N_i multiplicity of $f \circ h$ along E_i

v_i multiplicity of the Jac_h along E_i

(N_i, v_i) - numerical data of resolution of h .

Main Thm: (Igusa), $w \in \mathcal{R}_0(K^\times)$, $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, $X = K^n$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\bar{\Phi}}(w) &:= w(f)(\bar{\Phi}) = \int_{X \setminus f^{-1}(0)} w(f(x)) \bar{\Phi}(x) dx \\ Z(\bar{\Phi}, X, s, f) &= \int_{X \setminus f^{-1}(0)} |f(x)|_K^s X/\text{ad}(f(x)) \bar{\Phi}(x) dz \end{aligned}$$

defines a holomorphic f^n

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\bar{\Phi}}(f) : \mathcal{R}_0(K^\times) &\longrightarrow S(X)' \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ w &\longmapsto w(f) \longmapsto w(f)(\bar{\Phi}) \end{aligned}$$

and has a meromorphic continuation

$$Z_{\Phi}(t) : \Omega(K^*) \longrightarrow S(X)^1$$
$$\omega \longmapsto \omega(t)$$

⑨

such that $\omega(t)(\Phi)$ is a rational function of $t = \omega/\pi$ for each $X = \omega|_U_K^*$ and Φ .

Furthermore: $h: Y \rightarrow X$ is a resolution as above.
then

$$\prod_{i \in I} (1 - q_i^{-v_i} t^{N_i}) \omega(f)$$

becomes holomorphic on the punctured t -plane C^* for all X in $(U_K^*)^*$.

Proof: Fix X and Φ . Consider $Z(\Phi, X, s, f)$ as a function of s , $Z(s)$.
Now choose any compact subset $C \subseteq \Omega_0(K^*)$

Put $\sigma_0 = \max_{w \in C} (\sigma(w))$, $A = \text{supp } (\Phi)$

$$M = \max \left(1, \sup_{x \in A} |f(x)|_K \right)$$

define $\phi_C := \|\Phi\|_\infty M^{\sigma_0} \chi_A$

where $\chi_A \rightarrow$ characteristic function of A

Then $|w(f(x))\Phi(x)| \leq \phi_C(x) \quad \forall x \in X \setminus f^{-1}(0)$
 $w \in C$

$$\int_{X \setminus f^{-1}(0)} \phi_C(x) dx < \infty$$

Then using Lebesgue theorem, we get $Z(s)$

is continuous.

$$(\Phi)_*\omega \leftarrow (\Phi)_*\omega \leftarrow \omega$$

In fact, if $s_0 \in U$, where U open set of \mathbb{C}^* with $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$ (10)

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{s \rightarrow s_0} Z(s) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow s_0} \int_X |f(x)|_K^{s_0} x (\operatorname{ac}(f(x))) \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_X \lim_{s \rightarrow s_0} |f(x)|_K^s x (\operatorname{ac}(f(x))) \phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_X |f(x)|_K^{s_0} x (\operatorname{ac}(f(x))) \bar{\phi}(x) dx \\ &= Z(s_0)\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$w \mapsto w(f(x))$ for every x in $X \setminus f^{-1}(0)$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathcal{O}(K^*)$ hence on $\mathcal{O}_0(K^*)$ hence on U .

so if C is any closed curve of finite length in U ,

then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_C Z(s) ds &= \int_C \left(\int_X |f(x)|_K^{s_0} x (\operatorname{ac}(f(x))) \phi(x) dx \right) ds \\ &\stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} \int_X \left(\int_C |f(x)|_K^{s_0} x (\operatorname{ac}(f(x))) \phi(x) dx \right) dx \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{Cauchy})\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow F$ is holomorphic on U by Morera's theorem.

This gives the first part of the theorem

As for the main part, at every point b of Y we can choose a chart (U, ϕ_b) s.t. $b \in U, \phi_b(y) = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

$$f \circ h = \varepsilon \cdot \prod_{j \in J} y_j^{n_j} \quad , \quad h^*(\Lambda dx_k) = \eta \cdot \prod_{j \in J} y_j^{n_j-1} \Lambda dy_k \quad \forall k \in n$$

$J \subseteq I$ such that all $E_j, j \in I$ contain b .

$$\varepsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{O}_b^\times \cong K\langle\langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle\rangle_x$$

with $\varepsilon(y)$, $\eta(y)$ having expansions:

$$\varepsilon(y) = \varepsilon(0)(1 + \sum_{|i|>0} c_i y^i)$$

w/ $\varepsilon(0) \in K^\times$, $c_i \in K$ & $i \neq 0 \in \mathbb{N}^n$ s.t. $\sum c_i y^i$ is convergent on $\pi^k U_K^n$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By making k larger if necessary, we may assume

$$e_{\varepsilon(0)+\eta}(y)-1 \in \pi^{e(x)} U_K \quad \forall y \in \pi^k U_K^n$$

where $X = w|U_K^x$ & $e(x)$ smallest e such that

$$|X|_{(1+ne)U_K} = 1$$

Then we have

$$(u(y))_K = (u(0))_K, \quad w(u(y)) = w(u(0))$$

$$\forall y \in \pi^k U_K^n.$$

Since h is proper, $A = \text{supp}(\Phi)$ compact open

$$\Rightarrow B = h^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{T}(Y)$$

$$\Rightarrow B = \bigsqcup_{d=1}^l B_d, \quad B_d \in \mathcal{T}(Y) \text{ s.t.}$$

$$B_d \subseteq V \text{ for some } V.$$

Since Φ is locally constant, after subdividing B_d
we may assume

$$(\bar{\Phi} \circ h)|_{B_d} = \bar{\Phi}(h(b))$$

$$\text{Further } \psi_V(B_d) = \beta + \pi^e U_K^n, \quad \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in K^n$$

Since $h: Y \setminus (f \circ h)^{-1}(0) \rightarrow X \setminus f^{-1}(0)$ is biaanalytic
we have using the change of variable formula

(12)

$$Z(s) = \omega(f)(\Phi) = \sum_{\alpha} \Phi(h(b)) \omega(\varepsilon(b)) |\eta(b)|_K$$

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \int_{\beta_i + \pi e^{i\theta} \mathcal{O}_K} \omega(y_i)^{N_i} |y_i|_K^{m-1} dy_i$$

with the understanding that $N_i=0$, $\omega_i=1$ if $i \notin J$.

Then the previous lemma shows that RHS is a rational function of $t = \omega(\pi)$.

Furthermore, the denominator of each term is the product of $1 - q^{-j} t^{N_j}$ if $j \in J$ multiplied possibly by a power of t , which is holomorphic on \mathbb{C}^\times .

□

Poincaré series $P(t)$ of a polynomial $f(x) \in \mathcal{O}_k[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{(13)}$

Observe: $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{O}_K^n$, i.e. $\in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\xi \equiv \xi' \pmod{\pi}$

& $f(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^i}$

Then, $f(\xi') \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^i}$

Therefore, $c_i = \#\{\xi \pmod{\pi^i} \mid f(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^i}\}$ is well defined.

Consider, the following power series

$$P(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i (q^{-n} t)^i$$

in a complex variable t .

- $P(t)$ is dominated by series $\sum t^i$

$\Rightarrow P(t)$ is convergent for $|t| < 1$.

- we can express $P(t)$ by $Z(s)$ for $f(x)$ as above as

follows: $f: \mathcal{O}_K^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$

then $f^{-1}(\pi^i \mathcal{O}_K) = \bigcup \{\xi + \pi^i \mathcal{O}_K^n \mid \xi \in \mathcal{O}_K^n \text{ and } f(\xi) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^i}\}$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_n(f^{-1}(\pi^i \mathcal{O}_K)) = c_i \cdot \mu_n(\pi^i \mathcal{O}_K^n) = c_i \cdot q^{-ni},$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu_n(f^{-1}(\pi^i \mathcal{O}_K^\times)) = \mu_n(f^{-1}(\pi^i \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \pi^{i+1} \mathcal{O}_K))$$

$$= c_i q^{-ni} - c_{i+1} \cdot q^{-n(i+1)}$$

$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$

Therefore, if $t = \omega_s(\pi) = q^{-s}$ (for $\operatorname{Re}s > 0$, i.e., $|t| < 1$),

$$\Rightarrow Z(s) = \int_{\mathcal{O}_K^n \setminus f^{-1}(0)} |f(x)|_K^s dx = \sum_{i \geq 0} \int_{f^{-1}(\pi^i \mathcal{O}_K^\times)} |f(x)|_K^s dx$$

14

$$= \sum_{i=0}^n (c_i q^{-ni} - c_{i+1} q^{-n(i+1)}) t^i$$

$$= P(t) - t^{-1}(P(t) - 1)$$

Thm: $P(t)$ is a rational function of t . \square

Since $\zeta = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i t^i$, we have
 $\zeta' = \sum_{i=1}^n i c_i t^{i-1}$
 $\zeta'' = \sum_{i=2}^n i(i-1) c_i t^{i-2}$
 \vdots
 $\zeta^{(n)} = n! c_1$
 $\zeta^{(n+1)} = (n+1)! c_0$

so $\zeta^{(n+1)} = p(\zeta)$ for some polynomial p .

$$\zeta^{(n+1)} = p(\zeta) = \zeta^{(n+1)} + \zeta^{(n+1)} - p(\zeta)$$

$$0 = \zeta^{(n+1)} - p(\zeta) = (\zeta^{(n+1)} - p(\zeta)) \zeta^n$$

$$0 = (\zeta^{(n+1)} - p(\zeta)) \zeta^n = ((\zeta^{(n+1)} - p(\zeta)) \zeta^n)_{\text{min}} < 0$$

$$((\zeta^{(n+1)} - p(\zeta)) \zeta^n)_{\text{min}} = ((\zeta^{(n+1)} - p(\zeta))_{\text{min}}) \zeta^n < 0$$

$$0 < (\zeta^{(n+1)} - p(\zeta))_{\text{min}} = \zeta^{(n+1)}_{\text{min}} - p(\zeta^{(n+1)}_{\text{min}}) < 0$$

$$\zeta^{(n+1)}_{\text{min}} > 0$$

$$0 < (\zeta^{(n+1)} - p(\zeta))_{\text{min}} = \zeta^{(n+1)}_{\text{min}} - p(\zeta^{(n+1)}_{\text{min}}) < 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \zeta^{(n+1)}_{\text{min}} > 0 \\ p(\zeta^{(n+1)}_{\text{min}}) < 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \frac{\zeta^{(n+1)}_{\text{min}}}{p(\zeta^{(n+1)}_{\text{min}})} > 1$$