

Addendum to the April 17, 2013 course

Lemma 0.1. *Let A be a domain (commutative with $1 \in A$) with field of fraction K . Let $\mathfrak{A} \subset K$ be a A -submodule of K .*

- i) *If there is a A -submodule $\mathfrak{A}' \subset K$ such that $\mathfrak{A} \cdot \mathfrak{A}' = A$, i.e. if \mathfrak{A} is invertible, then both \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{A}' are finitely generated A -submodules, that is they are fractional ideals.*
- ii) *If two A -submodules $\mathfrak{A}_i \subset K$, $i = 1, 2$ fulfill $\mathfrak{A} \cdot \mathfrak{A}_i = A$, then $\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{A}_2$. We denote \mathfrak{A}_i by \mathfrak{A}^{-1} .*

Proof. i) There are finitely many elements $x_i \in \mathfrak{A}, y_i \in \mathfrak{A}'$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ such that $1 = \sum_1^N x_i y_i$. Let $x \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $x = \sum_1^N x_i (x y_i)$. Since $x y_i \in A$, $x \in \langle x_i \rangle_A$, thus $\mathfrak{A} \subset \langle x_i \rangle_A$, thus $\mathfrak{A} = \langle x_i \rangle_A$. Similarly $\mathfrak{A}' = \langle y_i \rangle_A$.

ii) Write $1 = \sum_1^N x_i y_i$ with $x_i \in \mathfrak{A}, y_i \in \mathfrak{A}_1$. Then for any $z \in \mathfrak{A}_2$, $z = \sum_1^N (x_i z) y_i$. Since $x_i z \in A$, we conclude $z \in \langle y_i \rangle_A = \mathfrak{A}_1$, thus $\mathfrak{A}_2 \subset \mathfrak{A}_1$ and similarly $\mathfrak{A}_2 \subset \mathfrak{A}_1$. \square

Lemma 0.2. *Let A be a Dedekind domain, let $\mathfrak{A} \subset K$ be a fractional ideal. Then*

$$\mathfrak{A} = \bigcap_{0 \neq p \in \text{Spec } A} \mathfrak{A} A_p.$$

Proof. Let $bc^{-1} = a \in \bigcap_{0 \neq p \in \text{Spec } A} \mathfrak{A} A_p$, $b \in \mathfrak{A}, c \in A \setminus 0$. Set $\mathfrak{B} = \{x \in A, xb \in c\mathfrak{A}\}$. Then \mathfrak{B} is an ideal of A which is not 0 as it contains c . On the other hand, $\mathfrak{B} A_p = A_p$, thus \mathfrak{B} is contained in no maximal ideal of A , thus $\mathfrak{B} = A$, thus $\mathfrak{B} \ni 1$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Thus $\bigcap_{0 \neq p \in \text{Spec } A} \mathfrak{A} A_p \subset \mathfrak{A}$, while the other inclusion $A \subset \bigcap_{0 \neq p \in \text{Spec } A} \mathfrak{A} A_p$ is trivial. \square

Proposition 0.3. *Let A be a Dedekind domain. Then any fractional ideal is invertible.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{A} be a fractional ideal. For any fractional ideal, define $\iota(\mathfrak{A}) = \{x \in K, x\mathfrak{A} \subset A\}$. If \mathfrak{A} is spanned by $x_1, \dots, x_N \in A$, then $\iota(\mathfrak{A}) \subset \iota(x_1 A) = x_1^{-1} A$. As A is noetherian, $\iota(A)$ is a fractional ideal. If $x \in \iota(\mathfrak{A})$, then $x\mathfrak{A} A_p \subset A_p$ thus by Lemma ??

$$\iota(\mathfrak{A}) = \bigcap_{0 \neq p \in \text{Spec } A} \iota(\mathfrak{A} A_p),$$

where $\iota(\mathfrak{A} A_p) = \{x \in K, x\mathfrak{A} A_p \subset A_p\} = (\mathfrak{A} A_p)^{-1}$. By definition, one has $\mathfrak{A} \iota(\mathfrak{A}) \subset A$ is an ideal of A . One has $(\mathfrak{A} \iota(\mathfrak{A}))_p = \mathfrak{A}_p \iota(\mathfrak{A})_p = A_p$. Thus $\mathfrak{A} \iota(\mathfrak{A}) = A$. \square

Hélène Esnault