

Theorems of Tate and Nakayama

§1. p -Groups

Let p be a prime number. Recall that a finite group G is called a p -group if its order $\text{Card}(G)$ is a power of p .

Lemma 1. *Suppose G is a p -group acting on a finite set E , and let E^G be the subset of elements fixed by G . Then*

$$\text{Card}(E^G) \equiv \text{Card}(E) \pmod{p}.$$

Indeed, $E - E^G$ is the disjoint union of orbits Gx not reduced to a single point, each having cardinality equal to the index of its stabilizer in G , which is divisible by p .

Lemma 2. *If a p -group acts on a p -group of order > 1 , then the fixed points form a subgroup of order > 1 .*

Indeed, the number of fixed points is divisible by p (lemma 1).

Theorem 1. *The center of a p -group of order > 1 has order > 1 .*

Apply the preceding lemma, letting the group act on itself by inner automorphisms. \square

Corollary. *A group G of order p^n admits a composition series*

$$\{1\} = G_n \subset G_{n-1} \subset \cdots \subset G_0 = G$$

with all the G_i normal in G (and the G_i/G_{i+1} cyclic of order p).

This follows from theorem 1, by induction on n .

Theorem 2. *Every linear representation $\neq 0$ of a p -group over a field of characteristic p contains the unit representation.*

Let E be the representation space. Let x be a non-zero element of E , H the subgroup of E generated by the $s \cdot x$, $s \in G$; H is a finite dimensional vector space over the prime field F_p . Applying lemma 2 to H gives the existence of $y \in H$, $y \neq 0$, such that $s \cdot y = y$ for all $s \in G$. \square

Corollary. *Let G be a p -group, and let k be a field of characteristic p . The kernel I_G of the augmentation homomorphism $k[G] \rightarrow k$ is the radical of $k[G]$, which is a nilpotent ideal.*

Indeed, the radical r of kG is the intersection of the kernels of the irreducible representations of $k[G]$ (or of G —it is the same), and theorem 2 shows that the unit representation is the only irreducible representation of G over k ; hence $r = I_G$. As $k[G]$ is a finite dimensional k -algebra, it is well-known that its radical is nilpotent (cf. Bourbaki, *Alg.*, Chap. VIII, §6, th. 3).

§2. Sylow Subgroups

Theorem 3 (Sylow). *Let G be a group of order $n = p^m q$, with p prime and $(p, q) = 1$. Then there exist subgroups of G having order p^m (called Sylow p -subgroups); they are all conjugate to one another, and every p -group contained in G is contained in one of them.*

PROOF (AFTER G. A. MILLER AND H. WIELANDT). Let E be the family of all subsets X of G having p^m elements. The group G operates on E by translations, and

$$\text{Card}(E) = \binom{n}{p^m}.$$

Lemma 3. *If $n = p^m q$, with $(p, q) = 1$, then*

$$\binom{n}{p^m} \equiv q \pmod{p}.$$

Indeed, let X and Y be indeterminates over a field of characteristic p . Then $(X + Y)^n = (X + Y)^{p^m q} = (X^{p^m} + Y^{p^m})^q = X^{p^m q} + qX^{p^m(q-1)}Y^{p^m} + \cdots + Y^{p^m q}$, and comparing this with the binomial expansion of $(X + Y)^n$ gives the congruence. \square

Back to the proof of Sylow's theorem: lemma 3 shows that $\text{Card}(E) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Hence there exists an $X \in E$ such that the orbit $G \cdot X$ of X in E satisfies $\text{Card}(G \cdot X) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. If H is the stabilizer of X (subgroup of all $s \in G$ such that $s \cdot X = X$), then $G \cdot X$ is equipotent to G/H , whence $(G:H) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so that p^m divides the order of H . On the other hand, if $x \in X$, then $H \subset X \cdot x^{-1}$, so

$$\text{Card}(H) \leq \text{Card}(X) = p^m.$$

Therefore $\text{Card}(H) = p^m$ and H is a Sylow p -subgroup of G .

Now let H' be a p -group contained in G , and consider the action of H' on the homogeneous space G/H , where H is a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Since $\text{Card}(G/H) = q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, lemma 1 applied to G/H guarantees that the set of fixed points of H' is non-empty; this means that H' is contained in a conjugate of H . If in addition $\text{Card}(H') = p^m$, H' must be equal to a conjugate of H . \square

The following "functorial" properties of the Sylow subgroups are immediate consequences of th. 3:

- If G' is any subgroup of G , then each Sylow p -subgroup of G' is the intersection with G' of a Sylow p -subgroup of G .
- If G' is any quotient group of G , then the Sylow p -subgroups of G' are the images of the Sylow p -subgroups of G .

Sylow subgroups occur in cohomology via the next theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let G be a finite group, p a prime number, and G_p a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then for every G -module A and every $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, the restriction homomorphism*

$$\text{Res}: \hat{H}^n(G, A) \rightarrow \hat{H}^n(G_p, A)$$

is injective on the p -primary component of $\hat{H}^n(G, A)$.

Given x in the kernel of Res . If $q = \text{Card}(G/G_p)$, then

$$q \cdot x = \text{Cor} \circ \text{Res}(x) = 0 \quad (\text{Chap. VIII, prop. 4}).$$

But if x belongs to the p -primary component of $\hat{H}^n(G, A)$, there is an integer r such that $p^r \cdot x = 0$. As $(q, p^r) = 1$, it follows that $x = 0$. \square

Corollary. *Let G be a finite group, A a G -module, n an integer. Suppose that for every prime number p , $\hat{H}^n(G_p, A) = 0$, where G_p is a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then $\hat{H}^n(G, A) = 0$.*

Indeed, all the primary components of $\hat{H}^n(G, A)$ are zero.

Remark. A characterisation of the image of $\text{Res}: \hat{H}^n(G, A) \rightarrow \hat{H}^n(G_p, A)$ is given in Cartan-Eilenberg ([13], Chap. XII, th. 10.1).

EXERCISES

- With the notation from the proof of th. 3, let d be the number of Sylow p -subgroups of G . Show that the number of translates of these subgroups is dq ; by comparing with the number of elements of E , deduce that $d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.
- Let G be a subgroup of a finite group \tilde{G} , and let \tilde{P} be a p -Sylow subgroup of \tilde{G} .
 - Show that there is a conjugate of \tilde{P} whose intersection with G is a p -Sylow subgroup of G . (Hint: make G act on \tilde{G}/\tilde{P} and note that one of the orbits has order prime to p .)
 - Deduce from a) another proof of the existence of a p -subgroup of G (take for \tilde{G} a group for which the existence of p -Sylow subgroups can be checked directly, for instance $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$.)

§3. Induced Modules; Cohomologically Trivial Modules

Let G be a finite group and A a G -module. A is called *cohomologically trivial* if, for every subgroup H of G and every $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\hat{H}^n(H, A) = 0$.

EXAMPLES. Every *induced* module is cohomologically trivial: indeed, such a module is also induced for every subgroup H of G , and we saw in Chap. VIII, §1 that the cohomology vanishes. The same holds for *relatively projective* modules, since they are direct factors of induced modules.

Starting with an induced module A , other examples can be constructed by the following process:

Let \mathcal{C} be the category of abelian groups, $T: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ an additive bifunctor that we take to be bicovariant (to fix ideas). If A and B are G -modules, define a G -module structure on $T(A, B)$ as follows: each $s \in G$ defines an element $s_A \in \text{Hom}(A, A)$ and an element $s_B \in \text{Hom}(B, B)$, hence an element $T(s_A, s_B) \in \text{Hom}(T(A, B), T(A, B))$: this is the automorphism of $T(A, B)$ associated to s .

Proposition 1. *If A is induced (resp. relatively projective), then $T(A, B)$ is induced (resp. relatively projective), hence cohomologically trivial.*

We may assume that A is induced (passing to a direct factor otherwise); then A is the direct sum of the $s \cdot A'$ for some subgroup A' . The group $T(A, B)$ is then the direct sum of the $T(s \cdot A', B)$; however, $T(s \cdot A', B) = T(s \cdot A', s \cdot B) = s \cdot T(A', B)$, so $T(A, B)$ is induced. \square

Corollary. *Suppose one of the G -modules A, B is relatively projective. Then the G -modules below are relatively projective (hence cohomologically trivial):*

$$A \otimes B, \quad \text{Hom}(A, B), \quad \text{Tor}(A, B), \quad \text{Ext}(A, B).$$

[Of course, the functors $\otimes, \text{Hom}, \dots$, are relative to the ring \mathbf{Z} of integers.]

§4. Cohomology of a p -Group

Lemma 4. *Let G be a p -group and A a G -module such that $pA = 0$. Then the three following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) $A = 0$.
- ii) $H^0(G, A) = 0$.
- iii) $H_0(G, A) = 0$.

The implications i) \Rightarrow ii) and i) \Rightarrow iii) are trivial. The implication ii) \Rightarrow i) has been proved in theorem 2. Let us show that iii) \Rightarrow i). Let $A' = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$ be the dual of A as an \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. It is easily seen that $H^0(G, A')$ is dual to $H_0(G, A)$. Hence $H^0(G, A') = 0$, whence $A' = 0$ and $A = 0$.

[Another proof that iii) \Rightarrow i): Let r be the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$. The vanishing of $H_0(G, A)$ means that $A = rA$. But r is nilpotent (cor. to th. 2). Hence $A = 0$.]

Lemma 5. *With the hypotheses of lemma 4, suppose that $H_1(G, A) = 0$. Then A is a free module over the algebra $\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p[G]$.*

Let r be the augmentation ideal of Λ . Then $A/rA = H_0(G, A)$, and this is a vector space over \mathbb{F}_p . Let h_λ be a basis of this vector space, and lift it to a family $a_\lambda \in A$. Since the h_λ generate A/rA , the a_λ generate A (apply lemma 4 to the quotient of A by the sub- Λ -module generated by the a_λ). Thus we have defined a surjective G -homomorphism of a free Λ -module L onto A ; by construction, this homomorphism induces an isomorphism of L/rL onto A/rA . Let R be the kernel of this homomorphism. Then there is an exact sequence

$$H_1(G, A) \rightarrow H_0(G, R) \rightarrow H_0(G, L) \rightarrow H_0(G, A).$$

As $H_1(G, A) = 0$ and $H_0(G, L) \rightarrow H_0(G, A)$ is bijective, it follows that $H_0(G, R) = 0$, whence $R = 0$ (lemma 4). \square

Remark. The two lemmas above are special cases of general theorems on "non-commutative local rings"—cf. Bourbaki, *Alg. comm.*, Chap. II, §3.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a p -group and A a G -module annihilated by p . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) *There exists an integer q such that $\hat{H}^q(G, A) = 0$,*
- ii) *A is cohomologically trivial,*
- iii) *A is an induced G -module,*
- iv) *A is a free $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module.*

Obviously it suffices to prove i) \Rightarrow iv). The shifting procedure already used several times enables us to construct a G -module B , annihilated by p ,

such that $\hat{H}^n(G, A) = \hat{H}^{n-q-2}(G, B)$ for all n . If $\hat{H}^q(G, A) = 0$, then $H_1(G, B) = 0$, hence, by lemma 5, B is Λ -free. Its cohomology groups are then zero; in particular,

$$H_1(G, A) = \hat{H}^{-2}(G, A) = \hat{H}^{-q-4}(G, B) = 0,$$

and lemma 5 concludes the proof. \square

Theorem 6. *Let G be a p -group and let A be a G -module without p -torsion. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) $\hat{H}^q(G, A) = 0$ for two consecutive values of q ,
- ii) A is cohomologically trivial,
- iii) the $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ -module A/pA is free.

Since A has no p -torsion, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{p} A \rightarrow A/pA \rightarrow 0.$$

Passing to the cohomology gives the exact sequence

$$\hat{H}^q(G, A) \xrightarrow{p} \hat{H}^q(G, A) \rightarrow \hat{H}^q(G, A/pA) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{q+1}(G, A) \xrightarrow{p} \hat{H}^{q+1}(G, A).$$

If $\hat{H}^q(G, A) = \hat{H}^{q+1}(G, A) = 0$, this sequence shows that $\hat{H}^q(G, A/pA) = 0$, and A/pA is free by th. 5. Thus i) \Rightarrow iii). If iii) holds, the same exact sequence shows that multiplication by p is bijective on all the $\hat{H}^q(G, A)$; as this endomorphism is nilpotent, $\hat{H}^q(G, A) = 0$. The same reasoning applies to every subgroup H of G , for A/pA is $\mathbb{F}_p[H]$ -free. Therefore iii) \Rightarrow ii). Finally, the implication ii) \Rightarrow i) is trivial. \square

Corollary. *Let A be a \mathbb{Z} -free G -module satisfying the equivalent conditions of theorem 6. Then for every torsion-free G -module B , the G -module $N = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, B)$ is cohomologically trivial.*

The module N is torsion-free. We will check that N/pN is cohomologically trivial; this will imply the result we seek, in view of the preceding theorems. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} B \rightarrow B/pB \rightarrow 0$$

gives the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{p} N \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, B/pB) \rightarrow 0$$

whence an isomorphism $N/pN = \text{Hom}(A/pA, B/pB)$. Now A/pA is free over $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$, hence induced, so the corollary to prop. 1 insures that N/pN is cohomologically trivial. \square

Remark. This corollary is in fact only a lemma for th. 7 below; once that theorem is proved, we will know that A is projective, hence N is relatively projective.

§5. Cohomology of a Finite Group

Theorem 7. Let G be a finite group, A a \mathbf{Z} -free G -module, and G_p a Sylow p -subgroup of G , for each p . The following conditions are equivalent:

- i) For every prime number p , the G_p -module A satisfies the equivalent conditions of theorem 6,
- ii) A is $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -projective.

We must show that i) implies ii). Write A as a quotient of a free $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -module L :

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow L \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

The \mathbf{Z} -module A being free yields the exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(A, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(A, L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(A, A) \rightarrow 0.$$

By the corollary to theorem 6, i) implies that the G_p -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(A, N)$ has cohomology zero in all dimensions, therefore that $H^1(G, \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(A, N)) = 0$ by the corollary to th. 4.

The exact cohomology sequence (*) then shows that

$$\text{Hom}_G(A, L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(A, A)$$

is surjective; in particular, the identity map of A extends to a G -homomorphism of A into L , so that A is a direct factor of L as G -module, i.e., projective. \square

Remark. Let P, P' be projective modules of finite type over $\mathbf{Z}[G]$; call them *equivalent* if there exist free modules L, L' of finite type such that $P \oplus L$ is isomorphic to $P' \oplus L'$. Let $P(G)$ be the set of equivalence classes of projective $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -modules of finite type (for this equivalence relation). The law of composition $(P, P') \rightarrow P \oplus P'$ makes $P(G)$ into an abelian group, called the *group of classes of projective G -modules*. When G is cyclic of prime order p , it has been shown by Rim [51] that $P(G)$ is isomorphic to the group of ideal classes of the field of p th roots of unity; in particular, $P(G) \neq 0$, which shows the existence of projective G -modules that are not free. Swan [62] has made a deeper study of $P(G)$, showing in particular that it is a *finite group*.

Lemma 6. Let $0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of G -modules. If all but one of the X_i are cohomologically trivial, then that one also is.

Put $N_i = \text{Ker}(X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1})$, $N_0 = N_{n+1} = 0$. Then there are $n+1$ exact sequences

$$(E_i) \quad 0 \rightarrow N_i \rightarrow X_i \rightarrow N_{i+1} \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

If X_i is cohomologically trivial for $i \neq q$, then the sequences E_0, \dots, E_{q-1} show that N_1, \dots, N_q are cohomologically trivial, and E_{q+1}, \dots, E_n show that N_{q+1}, \dots, N_n are too. Conclude by using sequence E_q . \square

Theorem 8. Let A be any G -module. The following are equivalent:

- i) For every prime p , $\hat{H}^q(G_p, A) = 0$ for two consecutive values of q (that may depend on p),
- ii) A is cohomologically trivial,
- iii) There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_k \rightarrow P_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$, where the P_i are projective $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -modules,
- iv) There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$, where the P_i are $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -projective.

(In the terminology of Cartan-Eilenberg, condition iii) means that the *projection dimension* of A is finite, and condition iv) that it is ≤ 1 .)

We have iv) \Rightarrow iii) trivially, iii) \Rightarrow ii) by lemma 6, and ii) \Rightarrow i) trivially. Let us show i) \Rightarrow iv): Let $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow L \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of G -modules, with L free over $\mathbf{Z}[G]$: a fortiori, L is \mathbf{Z} -free, hence also R . On the other hand, R satisfies hypothesis i) of th. 7, so is $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -projective. \square

Theorem 9. Let A, B be G -modules, with A cohomologically trivial. In order that $A \otimes B$ (resp. $\text{Hom}(A, B)$, resp. $\text{Hom}(B, A)$) be cohomologically trivial, it is necessary and sufficient that $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ (resp. $\text{Ext}(A, B)$, resp. $\text{Ext}(B, A)$) be

(Once more, the functors \otimes , Tor , etc. are taken over the ring \mathbf{Z} .)

By th. 8, iv), A has a resolution by projective modules

$$0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B) \rightarrow P_1 \otimes B \rightarrow P_0 \otimes B \rightarrow A \otimes B \rightarrow 0.$$

The corollary to prop. 1 shows that $P_1 \otimes B$ and $P_0 \otimes B$ are cohomologically trivial; applying lemma 6, we see that $A \otimes B$ is cohomologically trivial if and only if $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is. Same proof for $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ and $\text{Ext}(A, B)$. For $\text{Hom}(B, A)$, use the six term exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, P_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, P_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(B, P_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(B, P_0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(B, A) \rightarrow 0.$$

The corollary to prop. 1 shows that the four modules

$$\text{Hom}(B, P_1), \quad \text{Hom}(B, P_0), \quad \text{Ext}(B, P_1), \quad \text{Ext}(B, P_0)$$

are cohomologically trivial; conclude, as before, by applying lemma 6. \square

Corollary. If A is cohomologically trivial, and if A or B is torsion-free, then $A \otimes B$ is cohomologically trivial.

Indeed, $\text{Tor}(A, B)$ is zero.