

October 30, 2018

Addendum to the course on October 30, 2018

Dear students,

I'm sorry for the moment of confusion. Fortunately, **Floris** came at the end of the lecture with an immediate repair. Let me explain.

Let G be a group. Recall

Definition 1. A $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module is injective I if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(-, I)$ is exact.

It follows

Corollary 2. Let $0 \rightarrow I' \xrightarrow{\iota} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of G -modules where I' is injective. Then the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow (I')^G \rightarrow M^G \rightarrow (M'')^G \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

Proof. We apply $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(-, I')$ to this exact sequence. Thus the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M'', I') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M, I') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(I', I') \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. In particular, there is a $\theta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M, I')$ which maps to the identity in $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(I', I')$, thus splits ι . Thus one has a splitting $M = I' \oplus M''$ which sends x to $\theta(x) \oplus \pi(x)$, which is G -equivariant. This in particular implies the corollary. □

Proposition 3. Let $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ be a sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules. Then it induces a long exact sequence of abelian groups

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(G, M') \rightarrow H^0(G, M) \rightarrow H^0(G, M'') \xrightarrow{\partial_0} H^1(G, M') \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow H^r(G, M') \rightarrow H^r(G, M) \rightarrow H^r(G, M'') \xrightarrow{\partial_r} H^{r+1}(G, M') \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof we initiated in the class works word by word knowing all the lifts we took in the injective resolution can be made G -invariants via the corollary. Let us do it. We choose injective resolutions $I'^{\bullet}, I^{\bullet}, I''^{\bullet}$ of M', M, M'' and we know we can lift the exact sequence of the M s to an sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules (i.e. of abelian groups)

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow I'^{\bullet} \rightarrow I^{\bullet} \rightarrow I''^{\bullet} \rightarrow 0$$

where the vertical complexes are exact except at the zeroth level, where the zeroth kernels are M', M and M'' , and where, for any r , the sequence

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow I'^r \rightarrow I^r \rightarrow I''^r \rightarrow 0$$

is exact as well. The corollary tells us that more is true: the sequences

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow (I')^G \rightarrow (I^r)^G \rightarrow (I''^r)^G \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbb{Z} -modules are exact for all r . Now we repeat what we did in class.

Definition of ∂_r : let $x''^r \in (I''^r)^G$ with $d''^r(x''^r) = 0$. Then x''^r lifts to $x^r \in (I^r)^G$ thanks to (3). Then $d^r(x^r)$ lies in $(I^{r+1})^G \subset (I^{r+1})^G$ as it maps to 0 in $(I''^{r+1})^G$ (here we do not need the corollary as we only use left exactness). And then $d^{r+1}(d^r(x^r)) = d^{r+1}(d^r(x^r)) = 0$. So we have

$$(4) \quad x''^r \in (I''^r)^G, d''^r(x''^r) = 0 \mapsto d^r(x^r) \in (I^{r+1})^G, d^{r+1}(d^r(x^r)) = 0.$$

If we modify x''^r by $d''^{r-1}x''^{r-1}$, with $x''^{r-1} \in (I''^{r-1})^G$ (so we apply again (3)), we modify the lift x^r by $d^{r-1}x^{r-1}$ for some $x^{r-1} \in (I^{r-1})^G$ lifting x''^{r-1} (again by (3)), so $d^r(x^r)$ does not change. Finally another lift x^r differs by some $x'^r \in (I'^r)^G$. So $d^r(x^r)$ is modified by $d^r x'^r$. Thus the composite

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} x''^r \in (I''^r)^G, d''^r(x''^r) = 0 &\mapsto d^r(x^r) \in (I^{r+1})^G, d^{r+1}(d^r(x^r)) = 0 \\ &\rightarrow \text{Im}(d^r(x^r)) \in H^{r+1}(G, M') \end{aligned}$$

factors through

$$(6) \quad \partial_r : H^r(G, M'') \rightarrow H^{r+1}(G, M').$$

Exactness at the spot $H^r(G, M'')$: let \bar{x}''^r with $\partial_r(\bar{x}''^r) = 0$, where \bar{x}''^r is the residue class of $x''^r \in (I''^r)^G$ in $H^r(G, M'')$. Then with the notations above $d^r(x^r) = d^r(x'^r)$ where $x'^r \in (I'^r)^G$. On the other hand, $x^r - x'^r$ is another lift of x''^r . And it verifies $d^r(x^r - x'^r) = 0$. Thus we proved $\text{Ker}\partial_r \subset \text{Im}(H^r(G, M) \rightarrow H^r(G, M''))$. Vice-versa, we want to show $\text{Im}(H^r(G, M) \rightarrow H^r(G, M''))$ lies in $\text{Ker}\partial_r$. This in turn is obvious as if x^r is a representative of $\bar{x}^r \in H^r(G, M)$ then we can take x^r as a lift of its image in $(I''^r)^G$ and by definition $d^r(x^r) = 0$.

The exactness at the other spots is simpler, we do not do it.

□