

Then our arguments show

[6.9]

6.4 Proposition: Every Ω -spectrum $K = (K_n, K_n \rightarrow \Omega K_{n+1})$

defines a reduced cohomology theory

$$h^n := [-, K_n]_0$$

on the category of pointed CW-complexes. \square

Remark: The groups $h^n(S^0)$ are called the coefficient groups for the cohomology theory h .

Our next step is a uniqueness theorem for cohomology (and homology) theories when restricted to CW-complexes. The usual formulation is for unreduced unpointed theories. There are ways to pass from reduced pointed to unreduced (and unpointed) and from there to reduced unpointed theories as follows.

Let \tilde{h}^* be reduced, pointed.

Define for a CW-pair

$$h^n(X, A) := \tilde{h}^n(X/A) \quad \text{with } [A] \text{ as basepoint}$$

$$\text{and where } h^n(X) := \tilde{h}^n(X/\emptyset) = \tilde{h}^n(X_+) \quad \text{with}$$

$X_+ = X \sqcup \text{basepoint}$. This is an unpointed theory

$$\text{and } h^n(\text{pt}) = \tilde{h}^n(\text{pt}_+) = \tilde{h}^n(S^0).$$

From an unreduced unpointed theory one obtains a reduced unpointed theory by setting

$$\tilde{h}^n(X) := \text{coker} (h^n(\text{pt}) \rightarrow h^n(X)), \quad \text{where}$$

$X \rightarrow \text{pt}$ is the unique constant map.
There is an obvious analogue for homology theories

6.5 Theorem. Let h_* (h^*) be an unreduced homology (cohomology) theory on the category of CW-complexes and continuous maps, with $h_n(pt) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$ ($h^n(pt) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$). Then $h_n(h^n)$ and $H_n(-; h_0(pt))$ ($H^n(-; h^0(pt))$) are naturally isomorphic.

Proof. The key observation is that h_n (or h^n) can be computed from the cellular complex

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 e_n^h := h_n(X^{(n)}, X^{(n-1)}) & \cong & h_n(X^{(n)}/X^{(n-1)}, *) \\
 & & \cong \tilde{h}_n(VS^n) \\
 & \searrow \partial & \\
 & h_{n-1}(X^{(n-1)}) & \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
 e_{n-1}^h := h_{n-1}(X^{(n-1)}, X^{(n-2)}) & &
 \end{array}$$

using the same arguments as for singular homology (or cohomology).

Since we have a suspension isomorphism

$$\tilde{h}_{n+1}(SX) = \tilde{h}_{n+1}(CX/X) \rightarrow \tilde{h}_n(X)$$

since the terms to the left and right are reduced homology groups of a point, we have by the wedge axiom that the cellular complexes for

$H_*(-; h_0(pt))$ and h_* are degree wise isomorphic, so that one only has to compare their corresponding boundary maps

For $H_*(-; G)$ we know how these are related /6.11
 to degrees of maps $S^n \rightarrow S^n$. Thus it suffices to
 prove:

6.5.1 Lemma: Let $f: S^n \rightarrow S^n$ be a map of degree k .
 Then $h_n(f): h_n(S^n) \rightarrow h_n(S^n)$ is
 multiplication by k .

The proof of this Lemma is completely analogous
 to the proof (see 5.10) that the Hurewicz map
 is a homomorphism, so we omit it here. \square

Thus we obtain isomorphisms $h_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(X; G)$,
 $G := h_0(\text{pt})$, for every CW-ct. X . To see that this
 is natural first replace

$$f: X \rightarrow Y$$

by a cellular map. Then f induces a chain
 map of the cellular complexes for h_* and
 $H_*(-; G)$. Again their effect is determined
 by degrees of maps between spheres in h -theory

$$h_n(X^{(n)} / X^{(n-1)}) \longrightarrow h_n(S^n / S^{n-1})$$

and $H_*(-; G)$ -theory. So they are the same
 for both theories.

Remark. This argument needs some additional
 consideration for cohomology theory.

A cochain on an infinite generated free abelian group / 6.12
 might have non-zero values on infinitely many generators
 as well as $\tilde{h}^n \left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha^n \right) \cong \prod_{\alpha \in A} (\tilde{h}^n(S_\alpha^n)) \cong \prod_{\alpha \in A} h^0(\text{pt})$

will have elements where every component is non-zero
 (if $h^0(\text{pt}) \neq 0$).

Fortunately, for the ^(co-)boundary maps of the cellular
 complex this causes no problem, since the attaching
 maps involve only finitely many cells.

For $H^n(-; G)$ we know what happens since the
 cochain complex is just $\text{Hom}(-; G)$ of the cellular
 chain complex.

For h^* we look at

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 h^n(X^{(n)}, X^{(n+1)}) & \cong & \prod_{n\text{-cells}} \tilde{h}^n(S^n) \\
 \searrow \delta & & \downarrow \delta \\
 h^n(X^{(n)}) & \xrightarrow{h^n(\text{att})} & h^n(S^n) \cong G \\
 \delta \swarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\
 h^{n+1}(X^{(n+1)}, X^{(n)}) & \xrightarrow{h^{n+1}(\text{char})} & h^{n+1}(D^{n+1}, S^n) \\
 & \cong & \prod_{(n+1)\text{-cells}} \tilde{h}^{n+1}(S^{n+1}) \\
 & & \cong G
 \end{array}$$

Using the fact that

the attaching map lies in the union of finitely many cells

we see that each component of $\delta(\varphi)$ depends only
 on finitely many components of $\varphi \in \prod_{n\text{-cells}} \tilde{h}^n(S^n)$

and if these components are

g_1, \dots, g_r and the degree of the attaching map

For the n -cell corresponding to g_i is d_i

then the component corresponding to the attached $(n+1)$ -cell is $\sum_i d_i g_i$. So the calculation

is exactly as for $H^*(-; G)$, again using the fact that for a degree d map $f: S^n \rightarrow S^n$

we have $\tilde{h}^n(f)(g) = d \cdot g$, $g \in G \cong \tilde{h}^n(S^n)$.

This argument also applies for the proof that the

isomorphism $h^n(X, A) \longrightarrow H^n(X, A; G)$

is natural. \square

6.6 Corollary: The cohomology theories associated to

$\tilde{h}^n(X) = [X; K(G, n)]$ and $H^n(X; G)$ are naturally isomorphic on the category of pointed CW-complexes.

Proof: $\tilde{h}^n(S^0) \cong \pi_0(K(G, n)) \cong \begin{cases} G & n=0 \\ 0 & n \neq 0. \end{cases}$

That the isomorphism is given by some element u of $H^n(K(G, n); G)$ follows from naturality.

Take $u \in H^n(K(G, n); G)$ as the image of

$[id] \in [K(G, n), K(G, n)]$. Then consider

for $f: X \rightarrow K(G, n)$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 [f] & [X, K(G, n)] & \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} & H^n(X; G) & f^*(u) \\
 \uparrow & \cdot & \uparrow f^* & \uparrow f^* & \uparrow \\
 [id] & [K(G, n), K(G, n)] & \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} & H^n(K(G, n); G) & u \\
 & [id] & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & u & \\
 \end{array}$$

which by naturality commutes, and follow [id] on its two ways to $H^n(X; G)$ \square

As a final topic: some remarks on homology and spectra.

Here it is useful to change the axioms for a (co-)homology theory into an equivalent system. Again we consider only basepointed reduced theories.

Then a ^(red) cohomology theory is given by a sequence of contravariant functors $h^n: CW_* \rightarrow \text{Ab. groups}$

together with a natural isom. $\sigma^n(x): h^n(X) \rightarrow h^{n+1}(\Sigma X)$ \downarrow red. susp. $\cong 1$.

(i) homotopy invariance

(ii) For each pair (X, A) is exact

$$h^n(X/A) \rightarrow h^n(X) \rightarrow h^n(A)$$

(iii) Wedge Axiom

For homology simply require covariance

(i) as above

(ii) $h_n(A) \rightarrow h_n(X) \rightarrow h_n(X/A)$ exact

(iii) $\bigoplus_{j \in J} h_n(X_j) \xrightarrow{\cong} h_n(\bigvee_{j \in J} X_j)$ is

an isom., where \rightarrow is the natural map induced by the inclusions of the X_j in the wedge

This suffices to give a reduced (co-)homology theory as defined before (which induced the natural isom.

$h^n(X) \rightarrow h^{n+1}(\Sigma X)$.

What is missing is now $h^n(A) \rightarrow h^{n+1}(X/A)$ making the long sequence exact. Here we look at the cofibration sequence

$A \rightarrow X \rightarrow X/A \xrightarrow{q} \Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma X \rightarrow \dots$

and apply h^n to it to get our map

$h^n(A) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\sigma^n} h^{n+1}(\Sigma A) \xrightarrow{h^{n+1}(q)} h^{n+1}(X/A)$

At each step we have a sequence of the type

$B \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Y/B$

which is exact by (ii).

An Ω -spectrum was a sequence of spaces
(weak)

$K_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, together with homotopy equivalences

$$K_n \longrightarrow \Omega K_{n+1} \quad \text{We know that there is a natural}$$

bijection $[\Sigma K_n; K_{n+1}] \longrightarrow [K_n, \Omega K_{n+1}]$. This

leads us to the definition of a (naive) spectrum:

6.7 Definition: A spectrum is a sequence of (pointed) CW-complexes $K_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, together with maps $\Sigma K_n \longrightarrow K_{n+1}$.

A map between spectra is the obvious thing i.e. maps $f_n: K_n \rightarrow K'_n$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma K_n & \longrightarrow & K_{n+1} \\ \Sigma f_n \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{n+1} \\ \Sigma K'_n & \longrightarrow & K'_{n+1} \end{array}$$

commutes.

Examples: (a) X a space

$$K_n = \Sigma^n X, \quad n \geq 0$$

$$K_n = p^{\dagger}, \quad n < 0$$

$$\Sigma K_n = K_{n+1}$$

this is called the suspension spectrum.

(b) If $\{K_n\} = \mathbb{K}$ is a spectrum we can form

$\mathbb{K} \wedge X$ with $(\mathbb{K} \wedge X)_n = K_n \wedge X$ with the obvious maps $\Sigma K_n \wedge X \longrightarrow K_{n+1} \wedge X$ as structure maps.

Now consider for a spectrum \mathbb{K} the sequence of maps

$$\pi_i(K_n) \xrightarrow{\text{susp.}} \pi_{i+1}(\Sigma K_n) \longrightarrow \pi_{i+1}(K_{n+1}) \longrightarrow$$

i.e. we have associated maps

$$\pi_i(K_n) \longrightarrow \pi_{i+1}(K_{n+1})$$

and can form the direct limit (colimit) of this sequence. This we define to be the $(i-n)$ -th homology group of \mathbb{K}

6.7.1. Definition. $\mathbb{K} = \{K_n\}$ a spectrum.

$$\text{Then } \pi_i(\mathbb{K}) = \text{colim } (\rightarrow \pi_{n+i}(K_n) \longrightarrow \pi_{n+i+1}(K_{n+1}) \rightarrow$$

6.8 Theorem: Let \mathbb{K} be a spectrum. Then the functors

$$X \longmapsto \pi_n(X \wedge \mathbb{K}) \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

define a reduced pointed homology theory.

The suspension isomorphism is practically built into the definition of the homology theory.

$\pi_n(X \wedge K)$ is the colimit of

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_{n+i}(X \wedge K_i) & \rightarrow & \pi_{n+i+1}(\Sigma(X \wedge K_i)) \\
 & \searrow & \parallel \\
 & & \pi_{n+i+1}(X \wedge \Sigma K_i) \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & \pi_{n+i+1}(X \wedge K_{i+1})
 \end{array}$$

and $\pi_{n+1}((\Sigma X) \wedge K)$ is the colimit

looking at the composition of the vertical arrows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \pi_{n+i+1}(\Sigma(X \wedge K_{i+1})) & & \\
 \parallel & & \\
 \pi_{n+i+1}(\Sigma X \wedge K_{i+1}) & &
 \end{array}$$

Remark: Any cohom. theory is represented by an Ω -spectrum. (Brown-representation)

Any homology theory satisfying the compact support axiom, i.e.

$$h_n(X) \xleftarrow{\cong} \operatorname{colim}_f (h_n(X_f)) \quad \text{where } X_f \text{ runs through finite subcomplexes, is represented by a spectrum.}$$