

## Idempotents and Hindman's theorem

In the last chapter, we proved an abstract version (4.9) of Schur's theorem: for every colouring of a semigroup  $(S, \cdot)$  with finitely many colours, there are elements  $v, w$  of  $S$  such that  $v, w$ , and  $vw$  have the same colour. The proof heavily used the existence of an idempotent ultrafilter on  $S$ . In this chapter, we will prove a vast generalization of this result: for every colouring  $S = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r$  of  $S$  with finitely many colours, at least one of the colours  $A_j$  includes an IP-set, i.e. a (usually infinite) set with strong combinatorial structure.

In Section 1, we define the notion of an IP-set, state the main result Theorem 5.2, and point out some obvious reformulations resp. consequences. In Section 2, we prove the theorem – in fact, we show how IP-subsets of  $S$  are intimately connected to idempotent elements and to closed subsemigroups of  $\beta S$ . Section 3 extends this connection to some extent.

### 1. Statement of Hindman's theorem

For the definition of an IP-subset in a semigroup  $S$ , and later for the proof of the main theorem 5.5, we put up some notation. This looks quite technical, but is easily understood.

**5.1. Definition** (a) For any set  $X$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  the set of all non-empty finite subsets of  $X$ , as in 1.4.

(b) Assume that  $(S, \cdot)$  is a semigroup,  $\bar{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$  is an infinite sequence in  $S$ , and  $e \in \mathcal{P}_f(\omega)$ . We denote by  $p_e$  the product of the elements  $x_i$ ,  $i \in e$ . More exactly, if  $e = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  where  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ , we put  $p_e = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}$ . I.e. the elements  $x_i$ ,  $i \in e$ , are multiplied from left to right in the order of their appearance in the sequence  $\bar{x}$ . (The order of multiplication is, of course, irrelevant in a commutative semigroup.)

(c) Given a sequence  $\bar{x}$  as in (b), the subset  $FP(\bar{x})$  of  $S$  (the set of finite products over  $\bar{x}$ ) is defined by

$$FP(\bar{x}) = \{p_e : e \in \mathcal{P}_f(\omega)\}.$$

I.e.  $FP(\bar{x}) = \{x_0, x_1, x_0x_1, x_2, x_0x_2, x_1x_2, x_0x_1x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ .

Similarly, for any subsequence  $\bar{y} = (x_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $\bar{x}$ , where the index set  $I$  is a subset of  $\omega$ ,  $FP(\bar{y})$  denotes the set  $\{p_e : e \in \mathcal{P}_f(I)\}$ .

(d) A subset  $A$  of  $S$  is called an *IP-set* if  $FP(\bar{x}) \subseteq A$ , for some infinite sequence  $\bar{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$  in  $S$ .

(e) For  $(S, +)$  a commutative semigroup in additive notation, we will write  $s_e = \sum_{i \in e} x_i$  instead of  $p_e$  and similarly  $FS(\bar{x})$  (the set of finite sums over  $\bar{x}$ ) instead of  $FP(\bar{x})$ . We call  $A \subseteq S$  an *IS-set* if  $FS(\bar{x}) \subseteq A$ , for some infinite sequence  $\bar{x}$  in  $S$ .

In older literature, an IP-set is defined to be the set  $FP(\bar{x})$ , for some sequence  $\bar{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$  in  $S$ , rather than an arbitrary superset of  $FP(\bar{x})$ . The denomination

“IP-set” for the set of all  $p_e, e \in \mathcal{P}_f(\omega)$ , comes from the following example. Assume that  $V$  is a vector space over an arbitrary field; its additive group  $(V, +)$  is a commutative (semi-) group. Assume that  $\bar{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$  is a sequence of linearly independent vectors in  $V$ . Then the set  $FS(\bar{x}) = \{x_0, x_1, x_0 + x_1, x_2, x_0 + x_2, x_1 + x_2, x_0 + x_1 + x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ , together with the zero vector, constitutes the set of edges of an infinite-dimensional parallel epiped.

We can now state the abstract version of Hindman's theorem.

**5.2. Theorem** *Assume  $(S, \cdot)$  is a semigroup and  $S = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r$  is a colouring of  $S$  with  $r$  colours. Then at least one of the sets  $A_j, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , is an IP-set.*

The abstract version of Schur's theorem 4.9 turns out to be an extreme special case of 5.2: if  $A_j$  is an IP-set, i.e. if there is some sequence  $\bar{x}$  in  $S$  satisfying  $FP(\bar{x}) \subseteq A_j$ , then, for example,  $x_0, x_1$  and  $x_0x_1$  are all in  $A_j$ .

Hindman's theorem is the following special case of 5.2.

**5.3. Corollary** *(Hindman's finite sums theorem) Assume  $\mathbb{N} = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r$  is a colouring of  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $r$  colours. Then at least one of the sets  $A_j, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , is an IS-set, in  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ .*

*Moreover the sequence  $\bar{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$  proving this can be taken strictly increasing, i.e. such that  $x_0 < x_1 < \dots$ .*

Just as for 4.9 and 4.10, 5.2 can be deduced from its special case 5.3; see Exercise 1. Let us finally state a consequence of 5.3 which was proved much earlier.

**5.4. Corollary** *(Hilbert's theorem) Assume that  $\mathbb{N} = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r$  is a colouring of  $\mathbb{N}$  with  $r$  colours and that  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there are a sequence  $\bar{y} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , an infinite subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  and some  $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  such that  $FS(\bar{y}) + B \subseteq A_j$ .*

PROOF. Take  $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and a strictly increasing sequence  $\bar{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $FS(\bar{x}) \subseteq A_j$ . Then put  $\bar{y} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  and  $B = \{x_i : i \geq m + 1\}$ .  $\square$

## 2. Proof of Hindman's theorem

The abstract version 5.2 of Hindman's theorem is the most interesting part of the following equivalence. We will define the notion of a multiplicative family, and other ones still missing, later in this section at the places where they are required.

**5.5. Theorem** *For any subset  $A$  of an arbitrary semigroup  $(S, \cdot)$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (a)  *$A$  is contained in an idempotent ultrafilter on  $S$  (i.e.  $\hat{A}$  contains an idempotent of  $\beta S$ )*
- (b)  *$A$  is an IP-set*
- (c) *the power set  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  of  $A$  includes a multiplicative family*
- (d)  *$\hat{A}$  includes a closed subsemigroup of  $\beta S$ .*

Let us first note how Theorem 5.2 follows from 5.5, more exactly from the implication from (a) to (b): by 1.21, pick an idempotent  $p$  in  $\beta S$ ; then pick  $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  such that  $A_j \in p$ . By 5.5,  $A_j$  is an IP-set. In fact, this proof gives the following corollary which, in turn, implies, 5.2.

**5.6. Corollary** *If an IP-set  $A \subseteq S$  is the union of finitely many subsets  $A_1, \dots, A_r$ , then at least one  $A_j$  is an IP-set.*

In 5.5, the implication from (a) to (d) in 5.5 is trivial, because for any idempotent  $p$  in  $\beta S$ ,  $\{p\}$  is a closed subsemigroup of  $\beta S$ . But this observation is not too helpful, because we want to prove the chain (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) of implications. We handle the easiest one of these implications - (d) implies (a) - first and the least trivial one - (a) implies (b) - last.

**PROOF. (d) implies (a), in 5.5** A straightforward application of Theorem 1.21: a closed subsemigroup  $T$  of  $\beta S$  included in  $\hat{A}$  is a compact right topological semigroup, and 1.21 gives the existence of an idempotent in  $T$  and hence in  $\hat{A}$ .  $\square$

The implications from (c) to (d) and from (b) to (c) in 5.5 work smoothly with the following notion and lemma.

**5.7. Definition** A family  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of a semigroup  $(S, \cdot)$  is called *multiplicative* if it is nonempty, has the finite intersection property, and for every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and every  $c \in C$ , there is some  $D \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $c \cdot D \subseteq C$ .

Multiplicative families are a standard tool for constructing closed subsemigroups of  $\beta S$ .

**5.8. Lemma** *Assume that  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$  is a multiplicative family. Then  $T = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \hat{C} = \{p \in \beta S : C \subseteq p\}$  is a closed subsemigroup of  $\beta S$ .*

**PROOF.** The set  $T$  is closed, being the intersection of closed sets; it is non-empty since  $\mathcal{C}$  has the finite intersection property. So let  $p$  and  $q$  be elements of  $T$  with the aim of proving that  $pq \in T$ . Let  $C$  be any element of  $\mathcal{C}$ ; we have to show that  $C \in pq$ .

By the multiplicative property of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we pick for every  $c \in C$  some  $D_c \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $c \cdot D_c \subseteq C$ . Thus  $\bigcup_{c \in C} c \cdot D_c \subseteq C$ ; since  $C \in p$  and  $D_c \in q$  holds for all  $c \in C$ , we see that  $C \in pq$ .  $\square$

**PROOF. (c) implies (d), in 5.5** Assume  $\mathcal{C}$  is a multiplicative family and  $D \subseteq A$  holds for some  $D \in \mathcal{C}$ . The closed subsemigroup  $T = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \hat{C}$  of  $\beta S$  is included in  $\hat{D}$  and hence in  $\hat{A}$ .  $\square$

**PROOF. (b) implies (c), in 5.5** Let  $\bar{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$  be a sequence in  $S$  certifying that  $A$  is an IP-set, i.e. such that  $FP(\bar{x}) \subseteq A$ . For every  $n \in \omega$ , we consider the subsequence  $(x_i)_{n \leq i < \omega}$  of  $\bar{x}$  and define

$$C_n = FP((x_i)_{n \leq i < \omega}),$$

the subset of  $A$  containing the products  $p_e$  where  $e$  is a finite nonempty subset of  $\{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$ . So

$$\mathcal{C} = \{C_n : n \in \omega\}$$

is a subfamily of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ ; it has the finite intersection property because  $C_{n+1} \subseteq C_n$  holds for all  $n$ .

To check that  $\mathcal{C}$  is multiplicative, consider some  $n \in \omega$  and some  $c \in C_n$ , say  $c = p_e$  where  $e \subseteq \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$  is finite and nonempty. Then pick some  $m \in \omega$  greater than every element of  $e$  and consider  $D = C_m \in \mathcal{C}$ ; we show that  $c \cdot D \subseteq C_n$ . For any  $d \in D$ , say  $d = p_\varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon \subseteq \{m, m+1, \dots\}$  is finite and nonempty, we have  $c \cdot d = p_e \cdot p_\varepsilon = p_{e \cup \varepsilon}$ ; the last equality holds because every element of  $e$  is less than every element of  $\varepsilon$ .  $\square$

For the remaining implication from (a) to (b) in 5.5, we develop some additional notation and technical lemmas. Recall from 4.5 that, for  $A \subseteq S$ ,  $s \in S$  and  $q \in \beta S$ , the subsets  $s^{-1}A$  and  $A^{-q}$  of  $S$  were defined by  $s^{-1}A = \{x \in S : sx \in A\}$  and  $A^{-q} = \{s \in S : s^{-1}A \in q\} = \{s \in S : A \in sq\}$ . There are a few simple rules for computing with these sets.

**5.9. Lemma** *For  $A, B \subseteq S$ ,  $s, t \in S$  and  $p, q \in \beta S$ , the following hold.*

- (a)  $s^{-1}(A \cap B) = s^{-1}A \cap s^{-1}B$
- (b)  $t^{-1}s^{-1}A = (st)^{-1}A$
- (c)  $(A \cap B)^{-q} = A^{-q} \cap B^{-q}$
- (d)  $A^{-pq} = (A^{-q})^{-p}$ .

PROOF. (a) is obvious because  $s^{-1}A$  is the preimage of  $A$  under the left multiplication  $\lambda_s : S \rightarrow S$  with  $s$  and (b) because  $\lambda_{st} = \lambda_s \circ \lambda_t$ . (c) follows from (a) and the very definition of  $s^{-1}A$ . For (d), we have to show that, for any  $s \in S$ ,  $s \in A^{-pq}$  iff  $s \in (A^{-q})^{-p}$ . But  $s \in A^{-pq}$  holds iff  $s^{-1}A \in pq$  iff  $A \in s(pq)$ , and  $s \in (A^{-q})^{-p}$  iff  $A^{-q} \in sp$  iff (by 4.7)  $A \in (sp)q$ .  $\square$

**5.10. Definition** For  $A \subseteq S$  and  $p \in \beta S$ , we define the subset  $A^*$  of  $S$  by

$$A^* = A \cap A^{-p}.$$

(A more exact notation would be  $A^{*p}$ , pointing out the dependence from  $p$ .)

The set  $A^*$  and its properties given by the next lemma are the key ingredients for the remaining implication from (a) to (b) in 5.5.

**5.11. Lemma** *Assume  $A^*$  is defined with respect to an idempotent ultrafilter  $p$ . Then the following hold.*

- (a)  $A \in p$  iff  $A^{-p} \in p$  iff  $A^* \in p$ .
- (b)  $A^{**} = A^*$ .
- (c) Assume that  $A \in p$  and  $L$  is a finite subset of  $A^*$ . Then there is some  $W \in p$  such that  $W \subseteq A^*$  and  $L \cdot W \subseteq A^*$ .

PROOF. (a) By 4.7,  $A \in p = p \cdot p$  is equivalent to  $A^{-p} \in p$ . (b) Writing  $B = A^*$ , we obtain from ?? that  $A^{**} = B \cap B^{-p} = (A \cap A^{-p}) \cap (A^{-p} \cap (A^{-p})^{-p}) = A \cap A^{-p} = A^*$  – note that  $(A^{-p})^{-p} = A^{-p}$  because  $p$  is idempotent. (c) We know from (a) and (b) that  $A^{**} = A^*$  is an element of  $p$ . For every  $x \in L$ ,  $x \in A^{**}$  implies that there is some  $W_x \in p$  such that  $xW_x \subseteq A^*$ . So  $W = A^* \cap \bigcap_{x \in L} W_x$  works for the assertion.  $\square$

PROOF. **(a) implies (b), in 5.5** Assume that  $p$  is an idempotent ultrafilter on  $S$  and  $A \in p$ . Using the set  $A^* \in p$ , defined from  $p$ , we construct by induction elements  $x_i, i \geq 1$  of  $S$  such that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence  $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  satisfies the inductive assertion

$$FP(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \subseteq A^*.$$

This shows that  $A^*$  and hence  $A$  is an IP-set.

To begin with, pick an arbitrary element  $x_1$  of  $A^*$ ; so the inductive assertion is satisfied for  $n = 1$ . Given  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  satisfying the inductive assertion for  $n$ , we apply 5.11 to the finite subset  $L = FP(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  of  $A^*$  and obtain a set  $W \subseteq A^*$  such that  $W \in p$  and  $L \cdot W \subseteq A^*$ . Let  $x_{n+1}$  be an arbitrary element of  $W$ .

To show the inductive assertion for  $n+1$ , consider a non-empty subset  $e$  of  $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$  with the aim of showing  $p_e \in A^*$ , where  $p_e$  is the product defined in 5.1. Now if  $e \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then  $p_e \in A^*$  holds by the inductive assumption for  $n$ . If  $e = \{n+1\}$ , then  $p_e = x_{n+1} \in W \subseteq A^*$ . Otherwise,  $p_e = p_\varepsilon \cdot x_{n+1}$  where  $\varepsilon$  is a non-empty subset of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ; in this case,  $p_\varepsilon \in L \cdot W \subseteq A^*$ .  $\square$

**5.12. Remark** If, in the implication from (a) to (b) of 5.5, the idempotent ultrafilter  $p$  is free, then we can construct a witness  $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$  for the IP-set property of  $A$  in such a way that the  $x_i$  are pairwise distinct; in the case that the underlying set of  $S$  is  $\mathbb{N}$ , we can even guarantee that  $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots$ . This is because, in the above construction of  $x_{n+1}$ , the set  $W$  is in  $p$ , hence infinite, and we can pick  $x_{n+1} \in W \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  or  $x_{n+1} \in W \setminus \{1, \dots, x_n\}$ .

### 3. More on idempotent ultrafilters and IP-sets

Theorem 5.5 says that the sets contained in some idempotent ultrafilter on  $S$  are exactly the IP-sets. Conversely, if  $p$  is an ultrafilter on  $S$  consisting of IP-sets, does it follow that  $p$  is idempotent? Not quite, but a weaker statement holds:  $p$  belongs to the topological closure of the set  $E(\beta S)$  of idempotent elements of  $\beta S$ . It seems worth while to state a simple topological fact on the space  $\beta S$  connected with this situation.

**5.13. Lemma** *Assume that  $M$  is a subset of  $\beta S$  and  $p \in \beta S$ . Then  $p$  belongs to the closure of  $M$  (in  $\beta S$ ) iff  $p \subseteq \bigcup M$ , i.e. iff every set in  $p$  is contained in some  $m \in M$ .*

PROOF. The following assertions are clearly equivalent:  $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta S} M$ ; every neighbourhood of  $p$  intersects  $M$ ; for every  $A \in p$ , there is some  $m \in M$  such that  $m \in \hat{A}$ ; for every  $A \in p$ , there is some  $m \in M$  such that  $A \in m$ .  $\square$

We introduce the standard notation for the set of all idempotent elements of a semigroup and conclude which ultrafilters on a semigroup  $S$  have only IP-sets as their elements.

**5.14. Definition** For any semigroup  $(S, \cdot)$ , we denote by  $E(S)$  the set of all idempotent elements of  $S$ :

$$E(S) = \{e \in S : e \cdot e = e\}.$$

**5.15. Proposition** *Let  $p$  be any ultrafilter on a discrete semigroup  $S$ . Then every set in  $p$  is an IP-set iff  $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta S} E(\beta S)$ .*

PROOF. We apply 5.13 to the set  $M = E(\beta S)$  and note that by 5.5,  $\bigcup M$  is the family of all IP-subsets of  $S$ .  $\square$

The set  $\mathbb{N}$  of natural numbers is a semigroup both under addition and under multiplication, and we can apply the theory developed in this chapter both to  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  and to  $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ . Here we have to distinguish the sets  $E(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$  and  $E(\beta\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$  of idempotents in  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  with respect to addition and to multiplication, and similarly IP-subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  with respect to addition and to multiplication, i.e. IS-sets and IP-sets, in the notation of 5.1. This suggests, among others, questions like whether there is an ultrafilter  $p$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $p = p + p = p \cdot p$ , or whether there exists a sequence  $\bar{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $FS(\bar{x}) = FP(\bar{x})$ . The answer to both questions is negative - a result which we do not prove here. However, Proposition 5.16 states a nontrivial result connecting IS- and IP-subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**5.16. Proposition** *Assume  $\mathbb{N} = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r$  is a colouring of  $\mathbb{N}$  with finitely many colours. Then at least one of the sets  $A_i$  is both an IS-set and an IP-set. I.e. there are  $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and sequences  $\bar{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$ ,  $\bar{y} = (y_i)_{i \in \omega}$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $FS(\bar{x}) \subseteq A_j$  and  $FP(\bar{y}) \subseteq A_j$ .*

PROOF. We first note that, for  $W$  an IS-subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and an arbitrary  $v \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $vW = \{vw : w \in W\}$  is an IS-set, too. Because if  $\bar{x} = (x_i)_{i \in \omega}$  witnesses the IS-property of  $W$ , i.e.  $FS(\bar{x}) \subseteq W$ , then  $(vx_i)_{i \in \omega}$  witnesses the IS-property of  $vW$ . In  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $E$  the set  $E(\beta\mathbb{N}, +) = \{p \in \beta\mathbb{N} : p + p = p\}$  of all additive idempotents and by  $P$  its closure in the space  $\beta\mathbb{N}$ . We claim that  $P$  is a multiplicative subsemigroup of  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  - in fact, more holds true: for any  $p \in \beta\mathbb{N}$  and  $q \in P$ , we have  $pq \in P$ . Because of 5.15, applied to  $(\beta\mathbb{N}, +)$ , we have to show that every  $A \in pq$  is an IS-set. By 4.7, pick  $V \in p$  and, for every  $v \in V$ , some  $W_v \in q$  such that  $\bigcup_{v \in V} vW_v \subseteq A$ . Fix any  $v \in V$ ; then  $vW_v \subseteq A$  shows that  $A$  is an IS-set. To prove the proposition, we pick an idempotent element  $p$  of the closed subsemigroup  $P$  of  $(\beta\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$ , and then  $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  such that  $A_j \in p$ . Then  $A_j$  is an IP-set by 5.5 and an IS-set by 5.15, because  $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta\mathbb{N}} E$ .  $\square$

## Exercises

- (1) Deduce Theorem 5.2 from its special case 5.3.
- (2) Assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a family of subsets of a discrete semigroup  $S$  which satisfies the following assumption slightly weaker than multiplicativeness: for any  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , there are  $E \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $D_e \in \mathcal{C}$ , for  $e \in E$ , such that  $\bigcup_{e \in E} eD_e \subseteq C$ . Prove that  $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \hat{C}$  is a (closed) subsemigroup of  $\beta S$ .
- (3) Generalize the implication from (a) to (b) in 5.5 as follows. Assume that  $p$  is an idempotent of  $\beta S$  and  $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$  is a countable sequence of elements of  $p$ . Prove that there is a sequence  $(x_i)_{i \in \omega}$  in  $S$  such that  $FP(x_i)_{i \geq n} \subseteq A_n$  holds for every  $n \in \omega$ .
- (4) In the additive semigroup of natural numbers, find infinitely many pairwise disjoint IS-subsets  $A_n, n \in \omega$ .
- (5) Find disjoint subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $A$  is an IS-set and  $B$  is an IP-set, with respect to the operations of addition and multiplication on  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- (6) A family  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $S$  is called *upward closed* if for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq S$ , also  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is *partition regular* if for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_r$ , some  $A_j$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ . E.g., for a semigroup  $S$ , the family of IP-subsets of  $S$  is partition

regular, by 5.6.

Prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  is partition regular iff  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup M$  for some subset  $M$  of  $\beta S$ . And in this case, the closure of  $M$  in  $\beta S$  is the set of ultrafilters  $p$  satisfying  $p \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , by 5.13.