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## CUTTING-PLANE PROOFS AND CHVÁTAL-GOMORY CLOSURES

## Cutting-plane proofs

## Definition

Given linear inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i}^{\top} x \geq b_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, m) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

an inequality $a^{\top} x \geq b$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is derived from (1) if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdot a=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} a_{i} \text { for some } \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m} \geq 0 \\
& \cdot\left\lceil\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} b_{i}\right\rceil \geq b
\end{aligned}
$$

Clear: every $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ that satsifies (1) also satisfies $a^{\top} x \geq b$

## Cutting-plane proofs (2)

## Example



$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 1, x_{2}+x 3 \leq 1, x_{3}+x_{4} \leq 1, x_{4}+x_{5} \leq 1, x_{1}+x_{5} \leq 1 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow 2 x_{1}+\cdots+2 x_{5} \leq 5 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow x_{1}+\cdots+x_{5} \leq 2.5 \\
& \quad \Rightarrow x_{1}+\cdots+x_{5} \leq\lfloor 2.5\rfloor=2
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cutting-plane proofs (3)

## Definition

Given linear inequalities

$$
a_{i}^{\top} x \geq b_{i} \quad(i=1, \ldots, m)
$$

a sequence of linear inequalities

$$
a_{m+k}^{\top} x \geq b_{m+k} \quad(k=1, \ldots, M)
$$

is a cutting-plane proof for $a^{\top} x \geq b$ if for every $k=1, \ldots, M$

- $a_{m+k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$,
- $a_{m+k}^{\top} x \geq b_{m+k}$ is derived from the previous inequalities, and $a^{\top} x \geq b$ is a nonnegative multiple of $a_{m+M^{\top}}^{\top} \geq b_{m+M}$. Its length is $M$.


## Cutting-plane proofs (4)

## Theorem (Gomory)

If $a_{i}^{\top} x \geq b_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ define a polytope $P$, then every linear inequality with integer coefficients that is valid for $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ has a cutting-plane proof of finite length.

How long do cutting-plane proofs need to be?

## Chvátal-Gomory

## Definition

Given a polytope $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the first Chvátal-Gomory (CG) closure of $P$ is

$$
P^{\prime}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: c^{\top} x \geq\left\lceil\min _{y \in P} c^{\top} y\right\rceil \forall c \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}
$$

$P^{(0)}:=P, P^{(t)}:=\left(P^{(t-1)}\right)^{\prime}$ is the $t$-th CG closure of $P$.

## Definition

The smallest $t$ such that $P^{(t)}=\operatorname{conv}\left(P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$ is the CG-rank of $P$.

## Theorem (Chvátal)

The CG-rank of every polytope is finite.

## Chvátal-Gomory (2)

## Fact

Let $a_{i}^{\top} x \geq b_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m)$ define a polytope $P$ with CG-rank $k$. Then every linear inequality with integer coefficients that is valid for $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ has a cutting-plane proof of length at most

$$
\left(n^{k+1}-1\right) /(n-1)
$$

## Fact

Even in dimension 2, the CG-rank of a polytope can be arbitarily large.

## Eisenbrand, Schulz 2003; Rothvoß, Sanità 2013

The CG-rank of any polytope contained in $[0,1]^{n}$ is at most $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$; and this bound is tight up to the log-factor.

## Today

## Definition

Let $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$. A polytope $R \subseteq[0,1]^{n}$ is a relaxation of $S$ iff $R \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n}=S$.

## Question

Let $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$. What properties of $S$ ensure that every relaxation of $S$ has bounded CG rank (by a constant independent of $n$ )?


## Constant CG-rank

Fix $k$ to be a constant.

## Remark

Polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with CG-rank $k$ have cutting-plane proofs of length polynomial in $n$.

## Remark

Maximizing/minimizing a linear functional over the integer points of a polytope with CG-rank $k$ is in NP $\cap$ coNP (but not known to be in P ).

## Previous work

. $\bar{S}:=\{0,1\}^{n} \backslash S$

- $H[\bar{S}]:=$ undirected graph with vertices $\bar{S}$, two vertices are adjacent iff they differ in one coordinate


## Easy

If $H[\bar{S}]$ is a stable set, then the CG-rank of any relaxation of $S$ is at most 1.

## Cornuéjols, Lee (2016)

If $H[\bar{S}]$ is a forest, then the CG-rank of any relaxation of $S$ is at most 3.

## Cornuéjols, Lee (2016)

If the treewidth of $H[\bar{S}]$ is at most 2 , then the CG-rank of any relaxation of $S$ is at most 4 .

WHAT MAKES THE CG-RANK LARGE?

## A large pitch!

## Definition

The pitch of $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$ is the smallest number $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that every $p$-dimensional face of $[0,1]^{n}$ intersects $S$.
(If the pitch is $p$, there is a $p$-1-dimensional face of $[0,1]^{n}$ disjoint from $S$ )

## Fact

Let $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$ with pitch $p$. Then there is a relaxation of $S$ with CG-rank at least $p-1$.

## Large coefficients!

## Definition

The gap of $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$ is the smallest number $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that conv $(S)$ can be described by inequalities of the form

$$
\sum_{i \in I} c_{i} x_{i}+\sum_{j \in J} c_{j}\left(1-x_{j}\right) \geq \delta
$$

with $I, J \subseteq[n]$ disjoint, $\delta, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $\delta \leq \Delta$.

## Fact

Let $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$ with gap $\Delta$. Then there is a relaxation of $S$ with CG-rank at least $\frac{\log \Delta}{\log n}-1$.

## Main result

## Theorem

Let $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$ with pitch $p$ and gap $\Delta$. Then the CG-rank of any relaxation of $S$ is at most $p+\Delta-1$.

## Corollary

Let $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$ and let $t$ be the treewidth of $H[\bar{S}]$. Then the CG-rank of any relaxation of $S$ is at most $t+2 t^{t / 2}$.

## Comparing to treewidth

Bounded treewidth implies bounded pitch and gap:

## Proposition

Let $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$ with pitch $p$ and gap $\Delta$. If $t$ is the treewidth of $H[\bar{S}]$, then we have $p \leq t+1$ and $\Delta \leq 2 t^{t / 2}$.


## Proof idea

- induction on the rhs of the inequality to obtain
- every inequality of the form $\sum_{i \in I} x_{i} \geq 1$ can be obtained after $n+1-|I|$ rounds of CG.
- note that $n+1-|I| \leq p$
- $\rightsquigarrow$ all inequalities with rhs 1 can be obtained after $p$ rounds.
- for inequalities with larger rhs, proof by example


## Proof idea (2)

- suppose that $7 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+2 x_{3} \geq 5$ is valid for $S$, then also

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
(7-1) x_{1}+ & 3 x_{2}+ & 2 x_{3} & \geq 4 \\
7 x_{1}+(3-1) x_{2}+ & 2 x_{3} & \geq 4 \\
7 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+(2-1) x_{3} & \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

are valid for $S$

- thus, $(7-\varepsilon) x_{1}+(3-\varepsilon) x_{2}+(2-\varepsilon) x_{3} \geq 4$ is valid for $S$
- thus, $7 x_{1}+3 x_{2}+2 x_{3} \geq 4+\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$ is valid for $S$
. induction ...
- rounding up the rhs, we obtain the desired inequality


## FURTHER PROPERTIES OF SETS WITH BOUNDED PITCH

## Optimizing

## Proposition

For every $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$ with pitch $p$ and every $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the problem $\min \left\{c^{\top} s: s \in S\right\}$ can be solved using $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{p}\right)$ oracle calls to $S$.

Why?

- may assume that $0 \leq c_{1} \leq \cdots \leq c_{n}$
- note: optimal solution over $\{0,1\}^{n}$ would be $(\mathbb{O}$
- claim: only need to check all vectors with support at most $p$


## Approximating

## Bounded pitch allows for fast approximation:

## Corollary

Let $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$ with pitch $p$ and let $R$ be any relaxation of $S$. Let $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ with $p \varepsilon^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. If

$$
\sum_{i \in I} c_{i} x_{i}+\sum_{j \in J} c_{j}\left(1-x_{j}\right) \geq \delta
$$

with $\delta \geq c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \geq 0$ is valid for $S$, then the inequality

$$
\sum_{i \in I} c_{i} x_{i}+\sum_{j \in J} c_{j}\left(1-x_{j}\right) \geq(1-\varepsilon) \delta
$$

is valid for $R^{\left(p \varepsilon^{-1}-1\right)}$.

## Extended formulations

## Theorem

Let $S \subseteq\{0,1\}^{n}$ with pitch $p$ such that there exists a depth- $D$ Boolean circuit (with AND and OR gates of fan-in 2, and NOT gates of fan-in 1) that decides $S$.
Then $\operatorname{conv}(S)$ is a linear projection of a polytope with $\mathcal{O}\left(n \cdot 2^{p D}\right)$ many facets.


