Tverberg's theorem over lattices and other discrete sets

Jesús A. De Loera

December 14, 2016

Joint work with R. La Haye, D. Rolnick, and P. Soberón



Tverberg-style theorems over lattices and other discrete sets

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Key ideas for S-Tverberg theorems

Quantitative S-Tverberg theorems

Johann Radon & Helge Tverberg:



PARTITIONING SETS OF POINTS FOR CONVEX HULLS TO INTERSECT.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Theorem (J. Radon 1920, H. Tverberg, 1966)

Let $X = \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ be points in \mathbb{R}^d . If the number of points satisfies n > (d + 1)(m - 1), then they can be partitioned into m disjoint parts $A_1, ..., A_m$ in such a way that the m convex hulls conv $A_1, ...,$ conv A_m have a point in common.





Remark This constant is best possible.

The \mathbb{Z} -Tverberg numbers

Definition

The \mathbb{Z} -*Tverberg number* $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(m)$ is the smallest positive integer such that every set of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(m)$ distinct integer lattice points, has a partition of the set into m sets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m such that the intersection of their convex hulls contains at least one point of \mathbb{Z}^d .

The \mathbb{Z} -Tverberg numbers

Definition

The \mathbb{Z} -*Tverberg number* $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(m)$ is the smallest positive integer such that every set of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(m)$ distinct integer lattice points, has a partition of the set into m sets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m such that the intersection of their convex hulls contains at least one point of \mathbb{Z}^d .

Theorem (Eckhoff/ Jamison/Doignon 2000) The integer m-Tverberg number satisfies

$$2^d(m-1) < \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(m) \leq (m-1)(d+1)2^d - d - 2,$$

- for the plane with m = 3 is $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(3) = 9$.
- Compare to the Tverberg over the real numbers which is 7.

Integer Radon numbers

Special case m = 2: an integer Radon partition is a bipartition (S, T) of a set of integer points such that the convex hulls of S and T have at least one integer point in common.

Question: How many points does one need to guarantee the existence of an **integer Radon Partition**? e.g., what is the value of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(2)$?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Integer Radon numbers

Special case m = 2: an integer Radon partition is a bipartition (S, T) of a set of integer points such that the convex hulls of S and T have at least one integer point in common.

Question: How many points does one need to guarantee the existence of an **integer Radon Partition**? e.g., what is the value of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(2)$?

• Theorem (S. Onn 1991) The integer Radon number satisfies

$$5 \cdot 2^{d-2} + 1 \leq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(2) \leq d(2^d - 1) + 3.$$

• for d = 2 is $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(2) = 6$.



S-Tverberg numbers

Definition

Given a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the *S m*-*Tverberg number* $\mathbb{T}_S(m)$ (if it exists) is the smallest positive integer such that among any $\mathbb{T}_S(m)$ distinct points in $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a partition of them into *m* sets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m such that the intersection of their convex hulls contains some point of *S*.

S-Tverberg numbers

Definition

Given a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the *S m*-*Tverberg number* $\mathbb{T}_S(m)$ (if it exists) is the smallest positive integer such that among any $\mathbb{T}_S(m)$ distinct points in $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, there is a partition of them into *m* sets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m such that the intersection of their convex hulls contains some point of *S*.

NOTE: Original Tverberg numbers are for $S = \mathbb{R}^d$.

NOTE: When *S* is discrete we can also speak of a quantitative *S*-Tverberg numbers.

The quantitative $\mathbb{Z}\text{-}\mathsf{Tverberg}$ number

Definition

The *quantitative* \mathbb{Z} -*Tverberg number* $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}(m, k)$ is the smallest positive integer such that any set with $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}(m, k)$ distinct points in $\mathbb{Z}^d \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, can be partitioned *m* subsets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_m where the intersection of their convex hulls contains **at least** *k* points of \mathbb{Z}^d .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Interesting Examples of $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

• A natural (non-discrete) is $S = \mathbb{R}^p \times Z^q$.



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Interesting Examples of $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

• A natural (non-discrete) is $S = \mathbb{R}^p \times Z^q$.



► Let *L* be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d and L_1, \ldots, L_p sublattices of *L*. Set $S = L \setminus (L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_p)$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Interesting Examples of $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

• A natural (non-discrete) is $S = \mathbb{R}^p \times Z^q$.



▶ Let *L* be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d and L_1, \ldots, L_p sublattices of *L*. Set $S = L \setminus (L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_p)$.

• Let S = Primes \times Primes



OUR RESULTS

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Corollary (DL, La Haye, Rolnick, Soberón, 2015) The following bound on the Tverberg number exist:

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(m) \leq (m-1)d2^d + 1.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Corollary (DL, La Haye, Rolnick, Soberón, 2015) Let $c(d, k) = \lceil 2(k+1)/3 \rceil 2^d - 2 \lceil 2(k+1)/3 \rceil + 2$.

The quantitative \mathbb{Z} -Tverberg number $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}}(m,k)$ over the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^d is bounded by

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(m,k) \leq c(d,k)(m-1)kd+k.$$

S-Tverberg number for interesting families

Corollary

The following Tverberg numbers $\mathbb{T}_{S}(m)$ exist and are bounded as follows:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

1. When
$$S = \mathbb{Z}^{d-a} \times \mathbb{R}^a$$
, we have
 $\mathbb{T}_S(m) \le (m-1)d(2^{d-a}(a+1)) + 1.$

S-Tverberg number for interesting families

Corollary

The following Tverberg numbers $\mathbb{T}_{S}(m)$ exist and are bounded as follows:

1. When
$$S = \mathbb{Z}^{d-a} \times \mathbb{R}^a$$
, we have $\mathbb{T}_S(m) \leq (m-1)d(2^{d-a}(a+1)) + 1.$

2. Let L be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d of rank r and let L_1, \ldots, L_p be p sublattices of L. Call $S = L \setminus (L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_p)$ the difference of lattices. The quantitative Tverberg number satisfies

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}}(m,k) \leq \left(2^{p+1}k+1\right)^r (m-1)kd+k.$$

S-Tverberg number for interesting families

Corollary

The following Tverberg numbers $\mathbb{T}_{S}(m)$ exist and are bounded as follows:

1. When
$$S = \mathbb{Z}^{d-a} \times \mathbb{R}^a$$
, we have
 $\mathbb{T}_S(m) \le (m-1)d(2^{d-a}(a+1))+1.$

2. Let L be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d of rank r and let L_1, \ldots, L_p be p sublattices of L. Call $S = L \setminus (L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_p)$ the difference of lattices. The quantitative Tverberg number satisfies

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}}(m,k) \leq \left(2^{p+1}k+1\right)^r (m-1)kd+k.$$

EXAMPLE Let L', L'' be sublattices of a lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, then, if $S = L \setminus (L' \cup L'')$, the Tverberg number satisfies $\mathbb{T}_S(m) \leq 6(m-1)d2^d + 1$.

KEY IDEAS

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

HELLY's THEOREM (1914)

Given a finite family H of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d . If every d+1 of its elements have a common intersection point, then all elements in H has a non-empty intersection.



HELLY's THEOREM (1914)

Given a finite family H of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d . If every d+1 of its elements have a common intersection point, then all elements in H has a non-empty intersection.



For $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ let $\mathcal{K}_S = \{S \cap K : K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \text{ is convex}\}$. The *S*-Helly number h(S) is the smallest natural number satisfying $\forall i_1, \ldots, i_{h(S)} \in [m] : F_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_h(S)} \neq \emptyset \implies F_1 \cap \cdots \cap F_m \neq \emptyset$ (1) for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F_1, \ldots, F_m \in \mathcal{K}_S$. Else $h(S) = \infty$,

Integer Helly theorem

Jean-Paul Doignon (1973)



DOIGNON'S theorem

Given a finite collection D of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d , the sets in D have a common point with integer coordinates if every 2^d of its elements do.

Mixed Integer version of Helly's theorem Hoffman (1979) Averkov & Weismantel (2012)



Theorem

Given a finite collection D of convex sets in $\mathbb{Z}^{d-k} \times \mathbb{R}^k$, if every $2^{d-k}(k+1)$ of its elements contain a mixed integer point in the intersection, then all the sets in D have a common point with mixed integer coordinates.

CENTRAL POINT THEOREMS



There exist a point p in such that no matter which line one traces passing through p leaves at least ¹/₃ of the area of the body in each side!

S-Helly numbers

Lemma (Hoffman (1979), Averkov & Weismantel)

Assume $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is discrete, then the Helly number of S, h(S), is equal to the following two numbers:

- 1. The supremum f(S) of the number of facets of an S-facet-polytope.
- 2. The supremum g(S) of the number of vertices of an S-vertex-polytope.



NOTE With **Deborah Oliveros**, **Edgardo Roldán-Pensado** we obtained several *S*-Helly numbers.

S-Tverberg number must exists when the *S*-Helly number exists!!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

S-Tverberg number must exists when the S-Helly number exists!!

Theorem

Suppose that $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is such that h(S) exists. (In particular, S need not be discrete.) Then, the S-Tverberg number exists too and satisfies

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}}(m) \leq (m-1)d \cdot h(\mathcal{S}) + 1.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Sketch of proof of S-Tverberg

- An central point theorem for S: Let A ⊆ S be a set with at least (m-1)dh(S) + 1 points. Then there exist a point p ∈ S such that every closed halfspace p ∈ H⁺ satisfies |H⁺ ∪ S| ≥ (m-1)d + 1.
- Consider the family of convex sets

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F|F \subset A, |F| = (m-1)d(h(S)-1)+1\}.$$

For any G subfamily of F with cardinality h(S) the number of points in A \ F for any F ∈ F is

$$(m-1)dh(S) + 1 - (m-1)d(h(S) - 1) - 1 = (m-1)d.$$

The number of points in $A \setminus \bigcup G$ is at most (m-1)dh(S).

- Since this is less than |A|, ∩ G must contain an element of S. By the definition of h(S), ∩ F contains a point p in S.
- ► This is the desired p. Otherwise, there would be at least (m-1)d(h(S) - 1) + 1 in its complement.
- That would contradict the fact that every set in \mathcal{F} contains p.

- Claim We can find m disjoint (simplicial) subsets A₁, A₂,..., A_m of A that contain p.
- ► Suppose we have constructed A₁, A₂,..., A_j for some j < m, and each of them is a simplex that contains p in its relative interior.</p>
- If the convex hull of S_j = S \ (A₁ ∪ · · · ∪ A_j) contains p, then we can find a simplex A_{j+1} that contains p in its relative interior. Otherwise, there is a hyperplane H that contains p that leaves S_i in one of its open half-spaces.
- ▶ Then $H^+ \cap S_j = \emptyset$. However, since $|H^+ \cap A_i| \le d$,

$$(m-1)d \geq jd \geq |H^+ \cap A_1| + \cdots + |H^+ \cap A_j| = |H^+ \cap S| \geq (m-1)d+1,$$

a contradiction.

QUANTITATIVE CONVEXITY

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Circa October 2014....we began working on

arXiv:1503.06116



Title: Quantitative Tverberg, Helly, & Carathéodory theorems Authors: J. A. <u>De Loera</u>, R. N. <u>La Haye</u>, D. <u>Rolnick</u>, P. <u>Soberón</u> Categories: math.MG <u>Metric Geometry</u> (math.CO <u>Combinatorics</u>) Comments: 33 pages

Abstract: This paper presents sixteen quantitative versions of the classic Tverberg, Helly, & Caratheodory theorems in combinatorial convexity. Our results include measurable or enumerable information in the hypothesis and the conclusion. Typical measurements include the volume, the diameter, or the number of points in a lattice.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Owner: Pablo Soben'on Version <u>1</u>: Fri, 20 Mar 2015 15:36:31 GMT Version <u>2</u>: Mon, 23 Mar 2015 13:20:31 GMT Version 3: Thu, 2 Apr 2015 01:44:28 GMT A Quantitative Integer-Helly theorem

Theorem (Iskander Aliev, JDL, Quentin Louveaux, 2013)

▶ For d, k non-negative integers, there exists a constant c(d, k), determined by k and dimension d, such that

For any finite family $(X_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d , if

$$|\bigcap_{i\in\Lambda}X_i\cap\mathbb{Z}^d|=k,$$

then there is a subfamily, of size no more than c(d, k), with exactly the same integer points in its intersection.

For d, k non-negative integers

$$c(d,k) \leq \lceil 2(k+1)/3 \rceil 2^d - 2 \lceil 2(k+1)/3 \rceil + 2$$

Discrete quantitative S-Tverberg

Given $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let $h_S(k)$ be the smallest integer t such that whenever finitely many convex sets have exactly k common points in S, there exist at most t of these sets that already have exactly kcommon points in S.

Theorem

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be discrete set with finite quantitative Helly number $h_S(k)$. Let m, k be integers with $m, k \ge 1$. Then, we have

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{S}}(m,k) \leq h_{\mathcal{S}}(k)(m-1)kd + k.$$

Quantitative S-Helly numbers

We generalized Hoffman's theorem to provide a way to bound the quantitative S-Helly

Definition

A set $P \subset S$ is *k*-hollow with respect to S if

```
|(\operatorname{conv}(P) \setminus V(\operatorname{conv}(P))) \cap S| < k,
```

where V(K) is the vertex set of K.

Lemma

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a discrete set. The quantitative S Helly number is bounded above by the cardinality of the largest k-hollow set with respect to S.

Lemma

Let L be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d of rank r and let L_1, \ldots, L_p be p sublattices of L. Call $S = L \setminus (L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_p)$ the difference of lattices. The quantitative S-Helly number $h_S(k)$ exists and is bounded above by $(2^{p+1}k+1)^r$.

Improvements

- Chestnut et al. 2015 improved our theorem, for fixed d, to $c(d, k) = O(k(loglogk)(logk)^{-1/3})$ and gave lower bound $c(d, k) = \Omega(k^{(n-1)/(n+1)})$
- Averkov et al. 2016 gave have a different new combinatorial description of ℍ_S(k) in terms of polytopes with vertices in S. Consequences:
 - They strengthen our bound of c(d, k) by a constant factor

- For fix d showed that $c(d, k) = \Theta(k^{(d-1)/(d+1)})$ holds.
- Determined the exact values of c(d, k) for all $k \leq 4$.

Upcoming work

Theorem (DL, Nabil Mustafa, Frédéric Meunier)

The integer m-Tverberg number in the plane equals $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(m) \leq 4m - 3 + k$, where k is the smallest non-negative integer that makes the number of points congruent with zero modulo m, thus for m > 3, this is the same as 4m.

Doignon (unpublished) There is point set with $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(m) > 4m-4$

Coming up in 2017: Integer Tverberg and stochastic optimization!

OPEN PROBLEM: What is the exact value for $11 \leq \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{Z}^3}(2) \leq 17$ (K. Bezdek + A. Blokhuis 2003)?

OPEN PROBLEM: Find better upper bounds, lower bounds!

OPEN PROBLEM: Algorithms to find integer Tverberg partitions. OPEN PROBLEM: Is there a Helly number for $S = (PRIMES)^2$?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

THANK YOU! DANKE! GRACIAS!

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで